## Midpoint method (also known as the modified Euler method)

Recall that, in Euler's method (which is the same as the Taylor method of order 1) with step size h, we use  $y_{n+1} = y_n + f(x_n, y_n)h$  because  $f(x_n, y_n)$  is an approximation of  $y'(x_n)$ .

To get a better approximation  $\tilde{y}_{n+1}$ , we could do two small Euler steps (of size h/2 each) instead. We can then use the idea of Richardson extrapolation to combine Euler's  $y_{n+1}$  and the twice-Euler  $\tilde{y}_{n+1}$  to get an approximation of higher order. This results in the following method:

(midpoint method) The following is an order 2 method for solving IVPs:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + h$$
  
 $y_{n+1} = y_n + f\left(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + f(x_n, y_n) \frac{h}{2}\right) h$ 

Advantage over Taylor method. When compared with the Taylor method of order 2, this has the advantage of not requiring us to determine partial derivatives of f(x, y).

Why "midpoint"? In Euler's method, we use  $y'(x_n) \approx f(x_n, y_n)$  as the slope for stepping from  $x_n$  to  $x_{n+1} = x_n + h$ . That is a bit unbalanced since we use the slope at the left endpoint for the entire interval (that's why Euler's method in the form we have seen is often called the **forward Euler method**). Note that the midpoint method is more balanced because it uses (an approximation of) the derivative at the midpoint  $x_n + h/2$  instead.

How to derive the midpoint method. As mentioned above, we can derive the midpoint method by applying the idea of Richardson extrapolation to the Euler method.

- If we do one Euler step, then our approximation of  $y(x_{n+1})$  is  $y_{n+1}^{(1)} = y_n + f(x_n, y_n)h$ . We know that the error in this approximation is roughly  $Ch^2$  (in fact,  $C \approx \frac{1}{2}y''(x_n)$  if h is small).
- If we do two Euler steps, then our approximation is  $y_{n+1}^{(2)} = y_{\text{half}} + f(x_{\text{half}}, y_{\text{half}}) \frac{h}{2}$  where  $x_{\text{half}} = x_n + \frac{h}{2}$  and  $y_{\text{half}} = y_n + f(x_n, y_n) \frac{h}{2}$  are the result of the first Euler step with step size  $\frac{h}{2}$ . Combined, we get  $y_{n+1}^{(2)} = y_n + f(x_n, y_n) \frac{h}{2} + f\left(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + f(x_n, y_n) \frac{h}{2}\right) \frac{h}{2}$ . The error should be roughly  $2C\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}Ch^2$  because we are doing 2 steps with step size  $\frac{h}{2}$ . [For small h, the constant C should still be as before, at least to first order.]
- As for Richardson extrapolation,  $2y_{n+1}^{(2)} y_{n+1}^{(1)}$  should therefore be an approximation of  $y(x_{n+1})$  of order 3 (for the local truncation error). Indeed,  $2y_{n+1}^{(2)} y_{n+1}^{(1)} = y_n + f\left(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + f(x_n, y_n) \frac{h}{2}\right)h$  is precisely the midpoint method, which therefore should have global error of order 2.

An alternative way to show that the order is 2. We can also show that the midpoint method is of order 2 by computing and comparing Taylor expansions. The true solution has the expansion

$$y(x+h) = y(x) + y'(x)h + \frac{1}{2}y''(x)h^2 + O(h^3)$$

$$= y(x) + f(x, y(x))h + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{d}{dx}f(x, y(x)) \right] h^2 + O(h^3)$$

$$= f_x(x, y) + f_y(x, y) \cdot y'(x)$$

$$= y + f(x, y)h + \frac{1}{2}(f_x(x, y) + f_y(x, y)f(x, y))h^2 + O(h^3).$$

On the other hand, expanding the f(...) term on the right-hand side of the midpoint method (with x and y in place of  $x_n$  and  $y_n$ ) using the multivariate chain rule, we find

$$y + f\bigg(x + \frac{h}{2}, y + f(x,y)\frac{h}{2}\bigg)h \ = \ y + \bigg(f(x,y) + \bigg(f_x(x,y)\frac{1}{2} + f_y(x,y)\frac{f(x,y)}{2}\bigg)h + O(h^2)\bigg)h,$$

which agrees with the true expansion up to  $O(h^3)$ .

**Example 148.** Consider the IVP y' = y, y(0) = 1. Approximate the solution y(x) for  $x \in [0, 1]$  using the midpoint method with 4 steps. In particular, what is the approximation for y(1)?

Comment. Compare with Example 143. The real solution is  $y(x) = e^x$  so that  $y(1) = e \approx 2.71828$ .

**Solution.** The step size is  $h = \frac{1-0}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$ . We apply the midpoint method with f(x, y) = y:

$$x_{0} = 0 y_{0} = 1$$

$$x_{1} = \frac{1}{4} y_{1} = y_{0} + f\left(x_{0} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{0} + f(x_{0}, y_{0})\frac{h}{2}\right) h = \frac{41}{32} \approx 1.2813$$

$$x_{2} = \frac{1}{2} y_{2} = y_{1} + f\left(x_{1} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{1} + f(x_{1}, y_{1})\frac{h}{2}\right) h = \frac{41^{2}}{32^{2}} \approx 1.6416$$

$$x_{3} = \frac{3}{4} y_{3} = y_{2} + f\left(x_{2} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{2} + f(x_{2}, y_{2})\frac{h}{2}\right) h = \frac{41^{3}}{32^{3}} \approx 2.1033$$

$$x_{4} = 1 y_{4} = y_{3} + f\left(x_{3} + \frac{h}{2}, y_{3} + f(x_{3}, y_{3})\frac{h}{2}\right) h = \frac{41^{4}}{32^{4}} \approx 2.6949$$

In particular, the approximation for y(1) is  $y_4 \approx 2.6949$ , which is a noticeable improvement over Example 143, where we used the Euler method instead.

**Comment.** The above computations become particularly transparent if we realize that, for f(x,y)=y, each Euler step takes the simple form  $y_{n+1}=y_n+f\Big(x_n+\frac{h}{2},y_n+f(x_n,y_n)\frac{h}{2}\Big)h=y_n\Big(1+h+\frac{h^2}{2}\Big).$ 

It follows that  $y_n = \left(1 + h + \frac{h^2}{2}\right)^n$ . Can you see how, as  $h \to 0$ , this allows us to recover the exact solution?