# How computers represent numbers

Digital computers deal with all data in the form of plenty of **bits**. Each bit is either a 0 or a 1.

**Comment.** Quantum computers instead work with **qubits** (short for quantum bit), each of which is a linear combination  $\alpha \boxed{0} + \beta \boxed{1}$  of basic bits  $\boxed{0}$  and  $\boxed{1}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are complex numbers with  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ . As such a single qubit theoretically contains an infinite amount of classical information. Note that a classical bit is the special case where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both 0 or 1.

For efficiency, the **CPU** (central processing unit) of a computer deals with several bits at once. Current CPUs typically work with 64 bits at a time.

About 20 years ago, CPUs were typically working with 32 bits at a time instead.

Note that 64 bits can store  $2^{64} = 18446744073709551616$  many different values. That is a large number but may be limited for certain applications.

For instance, modern cryptography often works with integers that are 2048 bits large. Clearly, such an integer cannot be stored in a single fundamental 64 bit block.

## Representations of integers in different bases

In everyday life, we typically use the **decimal system** to express numbers. For instance:

$$1234 = 1 \cdot 10^3 + 2 \cdot 10^2 + 3 \cdot 10^1 + 4 \cdot 10^0.$$

10 is called the base, and 1, 2, 3, 4 are the digits in base 10. To emphasize that we are using base 10, we will write  $1234 = (1234)_{10}$ . Likewise, we write

$$(1234)_b = 1 \cdot b^3 + 2 \cdot b^2 + 3 \cdot b^1 + 4 \cdot b^0.$$

In this example, b > 4, because, if b is the base, then the digits have to be in  $\{0, 1, ..., b - 1\}$ . **Comment.** In the above examples, it is somewhat ambiguous to say whether 1 or 4 is the first or last digit. To avoid confusion, one refers to 4 as the **least significant digit** and 1 as the **most significant digit**.

**Example 1.**  $25 = 16 + 8 + 1 = 1 \cdot 2^4 + 1 \cdot 2^3 + 0 \cdot 2^2 + 0 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^0$ . Accordingly,  $25 = (11001)_2$ .

While the approach of the previous example works well for small examples when working by hand (if we are comfortable with powers of 2), the next example illustrates a more algorithmic approach.

**Example 2.** Express 49 in base 2.

Solution.

- $49 = 24 \cdot 2 + 1$ . Hence,  $49 = (\dots 1)_2$  where  $\dots$  are the digits for 24.
- $24 = 12 \cdot 2 + 0$ . Hence,  $49 = (...01)_2$  where ... are the digits for 12.
- $12 = 6 \cdot 2 + 0$ . Hence,  $49 = (...001)_2$  where ... are the digits for 6.
- $6 = 3 \cdot 2 + 0$ . Hence,  $49 = (...0001)_2$  where ... are the digits for 3.
- $3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$ . Hence,  $49 = (...10001)_2$  where ... are the digits for 1.
- $1 = 0 \cdot 2 + 1$ . Hence,  $49 = (110001)_2$ .

#### **Example 3.** Express 49 in base 3.

Solution.

- $49 = 16 \cdot 3 + 1$
- $16 = 5 \cdot 3 + 1$
- $5 = 1 \cdot 3 + 2$
- $1 = 0 \cdot 3 + 1$

Hence,  $49 = (1211)_3$ .

#### Other bases.

What is 49 in base 5?  $49 = (144)_5$ . What is 49 in base 7?  $49 = (100)_7$ .

## **Fixed-point numbers**

### Example 4. (warmup)

- (a) Which number is represented by  $(11001)_2$ ?
- (b) Which number is represented by  $(11.001)_2$ ?
- (c) Express 5.25 in base 2.
- (d) Express 2.625 in base 2. [Note that 2.625 = 5.25/2.]

#### Solution.

- (a)  $(11001)_2 = 1 + 8 + 16 = 25$
- (b) (11.001)<sub>2</sub> = 2<sup>1</sup> + 2<sup>0</sup> + 2<sup>-3</sup> = 3.125 Alternatively, (11.001)<sub>2</sub> should be (11001)<sub>2</sub> = 25 divided by 2<sup>3</sup> (because we move the "decimal" point by three places). Indeed, (11.001)<sub>2</sub> = 25/2<sup>3</sup> = 3.125.
  Comment. The professional term for "decimal" point would be radix point or, in base 2, binary point (but I have heard neither of these used much in my personal experience).
- (c) Note that  $5.25 = 2^2 + 2^0 + 2^{-2}$ . Hence  $5.25 = (101.01)_2$ .
- (d) Since multiplication (respectively, division) by 2 shifts the digits to the left (respectively, right), we deduce from  $5.25 = (101.01)_2$  that  $2.625 = (10.101)_2$

**Example 5.** Express 1.3 in base 2.

**Solution.** Suppose we want to determine 6 binary digits after the "decimal" point. Note that multiplication by  $2^6 = 64$  moves these 6 digits before the "decimal" point.

 $2^6 \cdot 1.3 = 83.2$  and  $83.2 = (1010011...)_2$  (fill in the details!).

Hence, shifting the "decimal" point, we find  $1.3\,{=}\,(1.010011{\cdots})_2.$ 

**Solution.** Alternatively, we can compute one digit at a time by multiplying with 2 each time:

• 1.3	[Hence, the most significant digit is $\boxed{1}$ with $0.3$ still to be accounted for.]
• $2 \cdot 0.3 = 0.6$	[Hence, the next digit is $0$ with $0.6$ still to be accounted for.]
• $2 \cdot 0.6 = 1.2$	[Hence, the next digit is $1$ with $0.2$ still to be accounted for.]
• $2 \cdot 0.2 = 0.4$	[Hence, the next digit is $0$ with $0.4$ still to be accounted for.]
• $2 \cdot 0.4 = 0.8$	[Hence, the next digit is $0$ with $0.8$ still to be accounted for.]
• $2 \cdot 0.8 = 1.6$	[Hence, the next digit is $1$ with 0.6 still to be accounted for.]

• And now things repeat because we started with 0.6 before...

Hence,  $1.3 = (1.01001\cdots)_2$  and the final digits 1001 will be repeated forever:  $1.3 = (1.0100110011001\cdots)_2$ 

**Comment.** As we saw here, fractions with a finite decimal expansion (like 13/10 = 1.3) do not need to have a finite binary expansion (and typically don't).