

## 19 Basic proof techniques

### 19.1 Proofs by contradiction

**Example 174. (again)**  $\sqrt{5}$  is not rational.

**Proof.** Assume (for contradiction) that we can write  $\sqrt{5} = \frac{n}{m}$  with  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . By canceling common factors, we can ensure that this fraction is reduced.

Then  $5m^2 = n^2$ , from which we conclude that  $n$  is divisible by 5. Write  $n = 5k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $5m^2 = (5k)^2$  implies that  $m^2 = 5k^2$ . Hence,  $m$  is also divisible by 5. This contradicts the fact that the fraction  $n/m$  is reduced. Hence, our initial assumption must have been wrong.  $\square$

**Variations.** Does the same proof apply to, say,  $\sqrt{7}$ ?

Which step of the proof fails for  $\sqrt{9}$ ?

**Comment.** We showed earlier that  $[1; 1, 1, 1, \dots] = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ . Since this is an infinite continued fraction, this proves that  $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  is irrational. Consequently,  $\sqrt{5}$  is irrational as well.

### 19.2 A famous example of a direct proof

**Example 175. (Gauss)**  $1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

**Proof.** Write  $s(n) = 1 + 2 + \dots + n$ .

$2s(n) = (1 + 2 + \dots + n) + (n + (n-1) + \dots + 1) = (1+n) + (2+n-1) + \dots + (n+1) = n \cdot (n+1)$ . Done!  $\square$

**Anecdote.** 9 year old Gauss (1777-1855) and his classmates were tasked to add the numbers 1 to 100 (and not bother their teacher while doing so). Gauss was not writing much on his slate... just the final answer: 5050.

### 19.3 Proofs by induction

**(induction)** To prove that  $\text{CLAIM}(n)$  is true for all integers  $n \geq n_0$ , it suffices to show:

- **(base case)**  $\text{CLAIM}(n_0)$  is true.
- **(induction step)** If  $\text{CLAIM}(n)$  is true for some  $n$ , then  $\text{CLAIM}(n+1)$  is true as well.

**Why does this work?** By the base case,  $\text{CLAIM}(n_0)$  is true. Thus, by the induction step,  $\text{CLAIM}(n_0+1)$  is true. Applying the induction step again shows that  $\text{CLAIM}(n_0+2)$  is true, ...

**Comment.** In the induction step, we may even assume that  $\text{CLAIM}(n_0), \text{CLAIM}(n_0+1), \dots, \text{CLAIM}(n)$  are all true. This is sometimes referred to as **strong induction**.

**Example 176. (Gauss, again)** For all integers  $n \geq 1$ ,  $1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .

**Proof.** Again, write  $s(n) = 1 + 2 + \dots + n$ .

**CLAIM**( $n$ ) is that  $s(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .

- **(base case)** **CLAIM**(1) is that  $s(1) = \frac{1(1+1)}{2} = 1$ . That's true.
- **(induction step)** Assume that **CLAIM**( $n$ ) is true (the **induction hypothesis**) for some fixed  $n$ .

$$s(n+1) = s(n) + (n+1) = \underbrace{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}_{\substack{\text{this is where we use} \\ \text{the induction hypothesis}}} + (n+1) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

This shows that **CLAIM**( $n+1$ ) is true as well.

By induction, the formula is therefore true for all integers  $n \geq 1$ . □

**Comment.** The claim is also true for  $n=0$  (if we interpret the left-hand side correctly).

**Example 177.** Induction is not only a proof technique but also a common way to define things.

- The **factorial**  $n!$  can be defined inductively (i.e. recursively) by

$$0! = 1, \quad (n+1)! = n! \cdot (n+1).$$

**Comment.** This may not seem impressive, because we can "spell out"  $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (n-1)n$  directly.

- The **Fibonacci numbers**  $F_n$  are defined inductively (i.e. recursively) by

$$F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1, \quad F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}.$$

**Getting a feeling.**  $F_2 = F_1 + F_0 = 1$ ,  $F_3 = F_2 + F_1 = 2$ ,  $F_4 = 3$ ,  $F_5 = 5$ ,  $F_6 = 8$ ,  $F_7 = 13$ , ...

**Comment.** Though not at all obvious, there is a way to compute  $F_n$  directly. Let  $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$ . Then  $F_n = \lfloor \varphi^n / \sqrt{5} \rfloor$ . Try it! For instance,  $\varphi^{10} / \sqrt{5} \approx 55.0036$ . That seems like magic at first. But it is the beginning of a general theory (look up, for instance, Binet's formula and  $C$ -finite sequences). Also, recall that we observed that  $F_{n+1}/F_n$  are the convergents of the continued fraction for  $\varphi$ .

**Example 178.** We are interested in the sums  $s(n) = 1 + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^n$ .

**Getting a feeling.**  $s(1) = 1 + 2 = 3$ ,  $s(2) = 1 + 2 + 4 = 7$ ,  $s(3) = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 = 15$ ,  $s(4) = 31$

**Conjecture.**  $s(n) = 2^{n+1} - 1$ .

**Proof by induction.** The statement we want to prove by induction is:  $s(n) = 2^{n+1} - 1$  for all integers  $n \geq 1$ .

- **(base case)**  $s(1) = 1 = 2^{1+1} - 1$  verifies that the claim is true for  $n = 1$ .
- **(induction step)** Assume that  $s(n) = 2^{n+1} - 1$  is true for some fixed  $n$ .

We need to show that  $s(n+1) = 2^{n+2} - 1$ .

Using the induction hypothesis,  $s(n+1) = s(n) + 2^{n+1} \stackrel{\text{IH}}{=} (2^{n+1} - 1) + 2^{n+1} = 2^{n+2} - 1$ . QED!

**Direct proof.**  $2s(n) = 2(1 + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^n) = 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^{n+1} = s(n) - 1 + 2^{n+1}$ . Hence,  $s(n) = 2^{n+1} - 1$ .