

**Definition 122.** An integer  $a$  is a **quadratic residue** modulo  $n$  if the congruence  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$  has a solution.

**Example 123.** List all quadratic residues modulo 11.

**Solution.**  $(\pm 1)^2 = 1$ ,  $(\pm 2)^2 = 4$ ,  $(\pm 3)^2 = 9$ ,  $(\pm 4)^2 \equiv 5$ ,  $(\pm 5)^2 = 3$ . Hence, apart from the special 0, the quadratic residues modulo 11 are 1, 3, 4, 5, 9. (Exactly half of the 10 nonzero residues.)

**Example 124.** List the first few primes for which 2 (respectively,  $-1$ ) is a quadratic residue.

**Solution.**

$p$	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19
is 2 a quadratic residue mod $p$ ?	yes	no	no	yes	no	no	yes	no
is $-1$ a quadratic residue mod $p$ ?	yes	no	yes	no	no	yes	yes	no
$p \pmod{8}$		3	5	7	3	5	1	3

**Advanced observations.** It turns out that 2 is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  if and only if  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ . Note every prime (except 2) takes one of the four values 1, 3, 5, 7 modulo 8.

Similarly,  $-1$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{8}$ . Equivalently,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . We will actually prove this second observation below.

**Recall.** We observed last time that, for a given odd prime  $p$ , half of the values  $1, 2, \dots, p-1$  are squares. In other words, there is a 50% chance that a random residue is a square modulo a prime  $p$ . It therefore is reasonable to expect that a value like 2 or  $-1$  (random residues in the sense that it is unclear whether they are squares modulo  $p$ ) is a square for "half" of the primes. This is what we are observing.

**Advanced comment.** We are just scratching the surface of some amazing results in number theory which go under the heading of **quadratic reciprocity**. For instance, suppose  $p, q$  are primes, at least one of which is  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then,  $p$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $q$  if and only if  $q$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ . Check out Chapter 9 in our book for more details.

**Theorem 125.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Then  $-1$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

In other words, the quadratic congruence  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  has a solution if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

**Solution.** Let us first see that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is necessary. Assume  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Then, by Fermat's little theorem,  $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . On the other hand,  $x^{p-1} = (x^2)^{(p-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p}$ . We therefore need  $(-1)^{(p-1)/2} = 1$ , which is equivalent to  $(p-1)/2$  being even. Which is equivalent to  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . (Make sure that's absolutely clear!)

On the other hand, assume that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Instead of  $1, 2, \dots, p-1$ , let us use the residues  $\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm \frac{p-1}{2}$  in Wilson's congruence to get:

$$-1 \equiv (p-1)! \equiv (\pm 1) \cdot (\pm 2) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\pm \frac{p-1}{2}\right) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \left(1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2 = \left[\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right]^2 \pmod{p}.$$

In the last step, we used  $(-1)^{(p-1)/2} = 1$  since  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Hence,  $x = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!$  has the property that  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Comment.** In the case  $p = 2$ , which we excluded from the discussion,  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$  has the solution  $x = 1$ . On the other hand,  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$  has no solution.

**Examples.** Let us check our proof by computing  $\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!$  for a few primes  $p$ . If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then (and only then) this is a solution to  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

$$p = 5: x = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! = 2! = 2. \text{ Indeed, } 2^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{5}.$$

$$p = 7: x = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! = 3! = 6. \text{ But } 6^2 \equiv 1 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{7} \text{ because } 7 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

$$p = 13: x = \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)! = 720 \equiv 5 \pmod{13}. \text{ Indeed, } 5^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{13}.$$

**Comment.** Note that we should not have computed  $6! = 720$  in the example modulo 13. Instead, we should have reduced  $6! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6$  modulo 13 after each multiplication, so as to never work with big numbers.

**Advanced comment.** Still, this is not a very good way of actually computing a square root of  $-1$  modulo  $p$  if  $p$  is large. A better way rests on the observation that, if  $a$  is such that  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1$ , then  $x = a^{(p-1)/4}$  satisfies  $x^2 \equiv -1$ . (See Euler's criterion below, why every second  $a$  does the trick.)

**A more general result. (Euler's criterion)** Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ . Then  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  if and only if  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Another advanced comment.** If  $n = n_1 n_2$  for relatively prime  $n_1, n_2$ , then  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$  has a solution if and only if both  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{n_1}$  and  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{n_2}$  has a solution. You are right: this follows immediately from the Chinese remainder theorem.

In general, the quadratic congruence  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$  has a solution if and only if the prime factorization  $n = 2^{r_0} p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$  has the property that  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $r_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ .

## 6 Continued fractions

**Definition 126.** A **continued fraction** is a fraction of the form

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}},$$

with  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  positive. Written as  $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ .

Called **simple** if all the  $a_i$  are integers.

**Example 127.** Evaluate  $[2; 3]$ ,  $[2; 3, 4]$ , and  $[2; 3, 4, 5]$ .

**Solution.**

$$[2; 3] = 2 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{7}{3} \approx 2.333$$

$$[2; 3, 4] = 2 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{4}} = 2 + \frac{4}{13} = \frac{30}{13} \approx 2.308$$

$$[2; 3, 4, 5] = 2 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{4 + \frac{1}{5}}} = 2 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{5}{21}} = 2 + \frac{21}{68} = \frac{157}{68} \approx 2.309$$