

Example 55. The **sieve of Eratosthenes** is an efficient way to find all primes up to some n .

Write down all numbers $2, 3, 4, \dots, n$. We begin with 2 as our first prime. We proceed by crossing out all multiples of 2 , because these are not primes. The smallest number we didn't cross out is 3 , our next prime. We again proceed by crossing out all multiples of 3 , because these are not primes. The smallest number we didn't cross out is 5 (note that it has to be prime because, by construction, it is not divisible by any prime less than itself).

Problem. If $n = 10^6$, at which point can we stop crossing out numbers?

We can stop when our "new prime" exceeds $\sqrt{n} = 1000$. All remaining numbers have to be primes. Why?!

Theorem 56. (Euclid) There are infinitely many primes.

Proof. Assume (for contradiction) there is only finitely many primes: p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n .

Consider the number $N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n + 1$.

None of the p_i divide N (because division of N by any p_i leaves remainder 1).

Thus any prime dividing N is not on our list. Contradiction. □

The following two famous results say a bit more about the infinitude of primes.

- **Bertrand's postulate:** for every $n > 1$, the interval $(n, 2n)$ contains at least one prime.
conjectured by Bertrand in 1845 (he checked up to $n = 3 \cdot 10^6$), proved by Chebyshev in 1852
- **Prime number theorem:** up to x , there are roughly $x / \ln(x)$ many primes
 proportion of primes up to 10^6 : $\frac{78,498}{10^6} = 7.850\%$ vs $\frac{1}{\ln(10^6)} = \frac{1}{6 \ln(10)} = 7.238\%$
 proportion of primes up to 10^9 : $\frac{50,847,534}{10^9} = 5.085\%$ vs $\frac{1}{\ln(10^9)} = 4.825\%$
 proportion of primes up to 10^{12} : $\frac{37,607,912,018}{10^{12}} = 3.761\%$ vs $\frac{1}{\ln(10^{12})} = 3.619\%$

Theorem 57. The gaps between primes can be arbitrarily large.

Proof. Indeed, for any integer $n > 1$,

$$n! + 2, \quad n! + 3, \quad \dots, \quad n! + n$$

is a string of $n - 1$ composite numbers. Why are these numbers all composite!? □

Comment. Notice how astronomically huge the numbers brought up in the proof are!

5 Congruences

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \quad \text{means} \quad a = b + mn \quad (\text{for some } m \in \mathbb{Z})$$

In that case, we say that " a is congruent to b modulo n ".

- In other words: $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if $a - b$ is divisible by n .
- In even other words: $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if a and b leave the same remainder when dividing by n .

Example 58. $17 \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$ as well as $17 \equiv 29 \equiv -7 \pmod{12}$

Example 59. We will discuss in more detail next time that we can calculate with congruences. Here is an appetizer: What is 2^{100} modulo 3? That is, what's the remainder upon division by 3?

Solution. $2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$. Hence, $2^{100} \equiv (-1)^{100} = 1 \pmod{3}$.