

**Review.** If  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are orthogonal, the orthogonal projection of  $w$  onto  $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is

$$\hat{w} = \frac{w \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 + \dots + \frac{w \cdot v_n}{v_n \cdot v_n} v_n.$$

**Example 69.**

(a) Project  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  onto  $W = \text{span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ .

(b) Express  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  in terms of the basis  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Solution.**

(a) We note that the vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  are orthogonal to each other.

Therefore, the projection can be computed as  $\frac{\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{8}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{4}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Comment.** If we didn't have an orthogonal basis for  $W = \text{col}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , then we would have to solve the least squares problem  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  instead to get the same final result (with more work).

(b) Note that this basis is orthogonal! Therefore, we can compute  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{8}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{4}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{5}{30} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(We proceed exactly as in the previous part to compute each coefficient as a quotient of dot products.)

## Gram-Schmidt

### (Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization)

Given a basis  $w_1, w_2, \dots$  for  $W$ , we produce an orthogonal basis  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  for  $W$  as follows:

- $q_1 = w_1$
- $q_2 = w_2 - \left( \begin{matrix} \text{projection of} \\ w_2 \text{ onto } q_1 \end{matrix} \right)$
- $q_3 = w_3 - \left( \begin{matrix} \text{projection of} \\ w_3 \text{ onto } q_1 \end{matrix} \right) - \left( \begin{matrix} \text{projection of} \\ w_3 \text{ onto } q_2 \end{matrix} \right)$
- $q_4 = \dots$

**Note.** Since  $q_1, q_2$  are orthogonal,  $\left( \begin{matrix} \text{projection of} \\ w_3 \text{ onto } \text{span}\{q_1, q_2\} \end{matrix} \right) = \left( \begin{matrix} \text{projection of} \\ w_3 \text{ onto } q_1 \end{matrix} \right) + \left( \begin{matrix} \text{projection of} \\ w_3 \text{ onto } q_2 \end{matrix} \right)$ .

**Important comment.** When working numerically on a computer it actually saves time to compute an orthonormal basis  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  by the same approach but always normalizing each  $q_i$  along the way. The reason this saves time is that now the projections onto  $q_i$  only require a single dot product (instead of two). This is called **Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization**. When working by hand, it is usually simpler to wait until the end to normalize (so as to avoid working with square roots).

**Note.** When normalizing, the orthonormal basis  $q_1, q_2, \dots$  is the unique one (up to  $\pm$  signs) with the property that  $\text{span}\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k\} = \text{span}\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

**Example 70.** Using Gram–Schmidt, find an orthogonal basis for  $W = \text{span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$ .

**Solution.** We already have the basis  $w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for  $W$ . However, that basis is not orthogonal.

We can construct an orthogonal basis  $q_1, q_2$  for  $W$  as follows:

- $q_1 = w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- $q_2 = w_2 - \left(\text{projection of } w_2 \text{ onto } q_1\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

**Note.**  $q_2$  is the error of the projection of  $w_2$  onto  $q_1$ . This guarantees that it is orthogonal to  $q_1$ . On the other hand, since  $q_2$  is a combination of  $w_2$  and  $q_1$ , we know that  $q_2$  actually is in  $W$ .

We have thus found the orthogonal basis  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for  $W$  (if we like, we can, of course, drop that  $\frac{2}{3}$ ).

**Important comment.** By normalizing, we get an orthonormal basis for  $W$ :  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Practical comment.** When implementing Gram–Schmidt on a computer, it is beneficial (slightly less work) to normalize each  $q_i$  during the Gram–Schmidt process. This typically introduces square roots, which is why normalizing at the end is usually preferable when working by hand.

**Comment.** There are, of course, many orthogonal bases  $q_1, q_2$  for  $W$ . Up to the length of the vectors, ours is the unique one with the property that  $\text{span}\{q_1\} = \text{span}\{w_1\}$  and  $\text{span}\{q_1, q_2\} = \text{span}\{w_1, w_2\}$ .

**Example 71.** Using Gram–Schmidt, find an orthogonal basis for  $W = \text{span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$ .

**Solution.** We begin with the (not orthogonal) basis  $w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

We then construct an orthogonal basis  $q_1, q_2, q_3$ :

- $q_1 = w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- $q_2 = w_2 - \left(\text{projection of } w_2 \text{ onto } q_1\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{3}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- $q_3 = w_3 - \left(\text{projection of } w_3 \text{ onto } \text{span}\{q_1, q_2\}\right) = w_3 - \left(\text{projection of } w_3 \text{ onto } q_1\right) - \left(\text{projection of } w_3 \text{ onto } q_2\right)$   
 $= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{3}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{2}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Make sure you understand how  $q_3$  was designed to be orthogonal to both  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ !

Also note that breaking up the projection onto  $\text{span}\{q_1, q_2\}$  into the projections onto  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  is only possible because  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are orthogonal.

Hence,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $W$ .

**Important.** Normalizing, we obtain an orthonormal basis:  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .