

**Application: Fibonacci numbers**

The numbers 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, ... are called **Fibonacci numbers**.

They are defined by the recursion  $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$  and  $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$ .

How fast are they growing?

Have a look at ratios of Fibonacci numbers:  $\frac{2}{1} = 2, \frac{3}{2} = 1.5, \frac{5}{3} = 1.6, \frac{13}{8} = 1.625, \frac{21}{13} = 1.615, \frac{34}{21} = 1.619, \dots$

These ratios approach the **golden ratio**  $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = 1.618\dots$

In other words, it appears that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ . This indeed follows from Theorem 93 below.

The crucial insight is the following simple observation:

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1} \quad \text{is equivalent to} \quad \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular,  $\begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Comment.** Recurrence equations are discrete analogs of differential equations. We will later see the same idea applied when we reduce the order of a differential equation by introducing additional equations.

Everything we observe here about Fibonacci numbers holds for other sequences that satisfy similar recursion equations.

**Theorem 93. (Binet's formula)**  $F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[ \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right]$

**Proof.**  $\begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_0 \end{bmatrix}$

- We already observed that the recurrence  $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$  translates into  $\begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$  and, thus,  $\begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_0 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- We therefore diagonalize  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  as  $T = PDP^{-1}$  with

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \\ & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx -0.618.$$

**Comment.**  $\lambda_1$  is the golden ratio!

- It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} \\ F_n \end{bmatrix} &= T^n \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_0 \end{bmatrix} = PD^nP^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^n & \\ & \lambda_2^n \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\lambda_2 \\ -1 & \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{n+1} & \lambda_2^{n+1} \\ \lambda_1^n & \lambda_2^n \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1} \\ \lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Hence,  $F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n)$ , which is the claimed formula.

□

**Comment.** For large  $n$ ,  $F_n \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \lambda_1^n$  (because  $\lambda_2^n$  becomes very small). In fact,  $F_n = \text{round}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n\right)$ .

**Back to the quotient of Fibonacci numbers.** In particular, because  $\lambda_1^n$  dominates  $\lambda_2^n$ , it is now transparent that the ratios  $\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}$  approach  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$ . To be precise, note that

$$\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\lambda_1^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1})}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n)} = \frac{\lambda_1^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1}}{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n} = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^n}{1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_1 - 0}{1 - 0} = \lambda_1.$$

In fact, it follows from  $\lambda_2 < 0$  that the ratios  $\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}$  approach  $\lambda_1$  in the alternating fashion that we observed numerically earlier. Can you see that?

Note that, given any Fibonacci-like recursion, we can apply our linear algebra skills in the same fashion. The next example illustrates how this is set up.

**Example 94.** Suppose the sequence  $a_n$  satisfies  $a_{n+3} = 3a_{n+2} - 2a_{n+1} + 7a_n$ . Write down a matrix-vector version of this recursion.

**Solution.** 
$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{n+3} \\ a_{n+2} \\ a_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{n+2} \\ a_{n+1} \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}$$