## **Sketch of Lecture 3**

**Example 10. (review)** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ , then its **transpose** is  $A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Recall that  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$ . This reflects the fact that, in the column-centric versus the row-centric interpretation of matrix multiplication, the order of the matrices is reversed.

**Comment.** When working with complex numbers, the fundamental role is not played by the transpose but by the conjugate transpose instead (we'll see that in our discussion of orthogonality):  $A^* = \overline{A^T}$ .

For instance, if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 3i & 5i \\ 2 + i & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $A^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 3i & 2 - i \\ -5i & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

## **Example 11.** (review) $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the vector space of all vectors with 3 real entries.

 ${\rm I\!R}$  itself refers to the set of real numbers. We will later also discuss  ${\rm C\!}$  , the set of complex numbers.

## The standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$ is $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ , $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ , $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Recall that vectors from a vector space V form a basis of V if and only iff

- the vectors span V, and
- the vectors are (linearly) independent.

Make sure that you can define precisely what it means for vectors  $v_1, ..., v_n$  to be independent.

Suppose that A is  $n \times n$  and has independent eigenvectors  $v_1, ..., v_n$ . Then A can be **diagonalized** as  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , where

- the columns of *P* are the eigenvectors, and
- the diagonal matrix *D* has the eigenvalues on the diagonal

Such a diagonalization is possible if and only if A has enough (independent) eigenvectors.

**Comment.** If you don't quite recall why these choices result in the diagonalization  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , note that the diagonalization is equivalent to AP = PD.

• Put the eigenvectors  $x_1, ..., x_n$  as columns into a matrix P.

$$A\boldsymbol{x}_{i} = \lambda_{i}\boldsymbol{x}_{i} \implies A\begin{bmatrix} | & | \\ \boldsymbol{x}_{1} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{x}_{n} \\ | & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | \\ \lambda_{1}\boldsymbol{x}_{1} & \cdots & \lambda_{n}\boldsymbol{x}_{n} \\ | & | \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} | & | \\ \boldsymbol{x}_{1} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{x}_{n} \\ | & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

• In summary: AP = PD

Example 12. (extra practice) Diagonalize, if possible, the matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution. For instance,  $A = PDP^{-1}$  with  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . *B* is not diagonalizable. For instance,  $C = PDP^{-1}$  with  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Armin Straub straub@southalabama.edu **Example 13. (to be finished next time)** Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(a) Find the eigenvalues and bases for the eigenspaces of A.

(b) Diagonalize A. That is, determine matrices P and D such that  $A = PDP^{-1}$ .

## Solution.

(a) By expanding by the second column, we find that the characteristic polynomial  $det(A - \lambda I)$  is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 4-\lambda & 0 & 2\\ 2 & 2-\lambda & 2\\ 1 & 0 & 3-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (2-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 4-\lambda & 2\\ 1 & 3-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (2-\lambda)[(4-\lambda)(3-\lambda)-2] = (2-\lambda)^2(5-\lambda).$$

Hence, the eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 2$  (with multiplicity 2) and  $\lambda = 5$ .

**Comment.** At this point, we know that we will find one eigenvector for  $\lambda = 5$  (more precisely, the 5eigenspace definitely has dimension 1). On the other hand, the 2-eigenspace might have dimension 2 or 1. In order for A to be diagonalizable, the 2-eigenspace must have dimension 2. (Why?!)

• The 5-eigenspace is null 
$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

Doing one set of row operations, we obtain

$$\operatorname{null}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} -1 & 0 & 2\\ 2 & -3 & 2\\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{array}\right]\right) \stackrel{R_2+2R_1 \Rightarrow R_2}{=} \operatorname{null}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} -1 & 0 & 2\\ 0 & -3 & 6\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]\right) = \operatorname{span}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1 \end{array}\right]\right\}$$

In other words, the 5-eigenspace has basis  $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 2\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . **Review.** The row-reduced echelon form (RREF) of  $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2\\ 2 & -3 & 2\\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2\\ 0 & 1 & -2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

• The 2-eigenspace is null 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\operatorname{null}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right]\right) \stackrel{R_2 - R_1 \Rightarrow R_2}{=} \operatorname{null}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]\right) = \operatorname{span}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right], \left[\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array}\right]\right\}$$

In other words, the 2-eigenspace has basis  $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Comment.** So, indeed, the 2-eigenspace has dimension 2. In particular, A is diagonalizable. **Review.** By our computation, and scaling the first row, the RREF of  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . (b) A possible choice is  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Comment.** However, many other choices are possible and correct. For instance, the order of the eigenvalues in D doesn't matter (as long as the same order is used for P). Also, for P, the columns can be chosen to be any other set of eigenvectors.