

Solving linear recurrences with constant coefficients

Motivation: Fibonacci numbers

The numbers 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, ... are called **Fibonacci numbers**.

They are defined by the recursion $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$ and $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$.

How fast are they growing?

Have a look at ratios of Fibonacci numbers: $\frac{2}{1} = 2, \frac{3}{2} = 1.5, \frac{5}{3} \approx 1.667, \frac{8}{5} = 1.6, \frac{13}{8} = 1.625, \frac{21}{13} = 1.615, \frac{34}{21} = 1.619, \dots$

These ratios approach the **golden ratio** $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = 1.618\dots$

In other words, it appears that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

We will soon understand where this is coming from.

We can derive all of that using the same ideas as in the case of linear differential equations. The crucial observation that we can write the recursion in operator form:

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1} \quad \text{is equivalent to} \quad (N^2 - N - 1)F_n = 0.$$

Here, N is the shift operator: $Na_n = a_{n+1}$.

Comment. Recurrence equations are discrete analogs of differential equations.

For instance, recall that $f'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [f(x+h) - f(x)]$.

Setting $h=1$, we get the rough estimate $f'(x) \approx f(x+1) - f(x)$ so that D is (roughly) approximated by $N-1$.

Example 41. Find the general solution to the recursion $a_{n+1} = 7a_n$.

Solution. Note that $a_n = 7a_{n-1} = 7 \cdot 7a_{n-2} = \dots = 7^n a_0$.

Hence, the general solution is $a_n = C \cdot 7^n$.

Comment. This is analogous to $y' = 7y$ having the general solution $y(x) = Ce^{7x}$.

Solving recurrence equations

Example 42. ("warmup") Find the general solution to the recursion $a_{n+2} = a_{n+1} + 6a_n$.

Solution. The recursion can be written as $p(N)a_n = 0$ where $p(N) = N^2 - N - 6 = (N-3)(N+2)$.

Since $(N-3)a_n = 0$ has solution $a_n = C \cdot 3^n$, and since $(N+2)a_n = 0$ has solution $a_n = C \cdot (-2)^n$ (compare previous example), we conclude that the general solution is $a_n = C_1 \cdot 3^n + C_2 \cdot (-2)^n$.

Comment. This must indeed be the general solution, because the two degrees of freedom C_1, C_2 allow us to match any initial conditions $a_0 = A, a_1 = B$: the two equations $C_1 + C_2 = A$ and $3C_1 - 2C_2 = B$ in matrix form are $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}$, which always has a (unique) solution because $\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = -5 \neq 0$.

Example 43. Let the sequence a_n be defined by $a_{n+2} = a_{n+1} + 6a_n$ and $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 8$.

- (a) Determine the first few terms of the sequence.
- (b) Find a formula for a_n .
- (c) Determine $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$.

Solution.

(a) $a_2 = a_1 + 6a_0 = 14$, $a_3 = a_2 + 6a_1 = 62$, $a_4 = 146$, ...

- (b) The recursion can be written as $p(N)a_n = 0$ where $p(N) = N^2 - N - 6$ has roots $3, -2$.

Hence, $a_n = C_1 3^n + C_2 (-2)^n$ and we only need to figure out the two unknowns C_1, C_2 . We can do that using the two initial conditions: $a_0 = C_1 + C_2 = 1$, $a_1 = 3C_1 - 2C_2 = 8$.

Solving, we find $C_1 = 2$ and $C_2 = -1$ so that, in conclusion, $a_n = 2 \cdot 3^n - (-2)^n$.

Comment. Such a formula is sometimes called a **Binet-like formula** (because it is of the same kind as the Binet formula for the Fibonacci numbers that we can derive in the same manner).

- (c) It follows from our formula that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 3$ (because $|3| > |-2|$ so that 3^n dominates $(-2)^n$).

To see this, we need to realize that, for large n , 3^n is much larger than $(-2)^n$ so that we have $a_n \approx 2 \cdot 3^n$ when n is large. Hence, $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \approx \frac{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}}{2 \cdot 3^n} = 3$.

Alternatively, to be very precise, we can observe that (by dividing each term by 3^n)

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{2 \cdot 3^{n+1} - (-2)^{n+1}}{2 \cdot 3^n - (-2)^n} = \frac{2 \cdot 3 + 2 \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n}{2 \cdot 1 - \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \frac{2 \cdot 3 + 0}{2 \cdot 1 - 0} = 3.$$

Example 44. Consider the sequence a_n defined by $a_{n+2} = a_{n+1} + 2a_n$ and $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 8$.

- (a) Determine the first few terms of the sequence.
- (b) Find a formula for a_n .
- (c) Determine $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$.

Solution.

(a) $a_2 = 10$, $a_3 = 26$

- (b) The recursion can be written as $p(N)a_n = 0$ where $p(N) = N^2 - N - 2$ has roots $2, -1$.

Hence, $a_n = C_1 2^n + C_2 (-1)^n$ and we only need to figure out the two unknowns C_1, C_2 . We can do that using the two initial conditions: $a_0 = C_1 + C_2 = 1$, $a_1 = 2C_1 - C_2 = 8$.

Solving, we find $C_1 = 3$ and $C_2 = -2$ so that, in conclusion, $a_n = 3 \cdot 2^n - 2(-1)^n$.

- (c) It follows from our formula that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 2$ (because $|2| > |-1|$ so that 2^n dominates $(-1)^n$).

Comment. In fact, this already follows from $a_n = C_1 2^n + C_2 (-1)^n$ provided that $C_1 \neq 0$. Since $a_n = C_2 (-1)^n$ (the case $C_1 = 0$) is not compatible with $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 8$, we can conclude $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 2$ without computing the actual values of C_1 and C_2 .