## **Notes for Lecture 9**

**Example 47.** (cont'd) Let the sequence  $a_n$  be defined by  $a_{n+2} = a_{n+1} + 6a_n$  and  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_1 = 8$ .

- (a) Determine the first few terms of the sequence.
- (b) Find a formula for  $a_n$ .
- (c) Determine  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$ .
- .

## Solution.

- (a)  $a_2 = a_1 + 6a_0 = 14$ ,  $a_3 = a_2 + 6a_1 = 62$ ,  $a_4 = 146$ , ...
- (b) The recursion can be written as p(N)a<sub>n</sub> = 0 where p(N) = N<sup>2</sup> N 6 has roots 3, -2. Hence, a<sub>n</sub> = C<sub>1</sub> 3<sup>n</sup> + C<sub>2</sub> (-2)<sup>n</sup> and we only need to figure out the two unknowns C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>. We can do that using the two initial conditions: a<sub>0</sub> = C<sub>1</sub> + C<sub>2</sub> = 1, a<sub>1</sub> = 3C<sub>1</sub> 2C<sub>2</sub> = 8. Solving, we find C<sub>1</sub> = 2 and C<sub>2</sub> = -1 so that, in conclusion, a<sub>n</sub> = 2 ⋅ 3<sup>n</sup> (-2)<sup>n</sup>. Comment. Such a formula is sometimes called a Binet-like formula (because it is of the same kind as the Binet formula for the Fibonacci numbers that we can derive in the same manner).
- (c) It follows from our formula that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 3$  (because |3| > |-2| so that  $3^n$  dominates  $(-2)^n$ ). To see this, we need to realize that, for large n,  $3^n$  is much larger than  $(-2)^n$  so that we have  $a_n \approx 2 \cdot 3^n$  when n is large. Hence,  $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \approx \frac{2 \cdot 3^{n+1}}{2 \cdot 3^n} = 3$ .

Alternatively, to be very precise, we can observe that (by dividing each term by  $3^n$ )

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{2 \cdot 3^{n+1} - (-2)^{n+1}}{2 \cdot 3^n - (-2)^n} = \frac{2 \cdot 3 + 2\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n}{2 \cdot 1 - \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)^n} \quad \text{as } \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \quad \frac{2 \cdot 3 + 0}{2 \cdot 1 - 0} = 3$$

**Example 48.** ("warmup") Find the general solution to the recursion  $a_{n+2} = 4a_{n+1} - 4a_n$ .

**Solution.** The recursion can be written as  $p(N)a_n = 0$  where  $p(N) = N^2 - 4N + 4$  has roots 2, 2. So a solution is  $2^n$  and, from our discussion of DEs, it is probably not surprising that a second solution is  $n \cdot 2^n$ . Hence, the general solution is  $a_n = C_1 \cdot 2^n + C_2 \cdot n \cdot 2^n = (C_1 + C_2n) \cdot 2^n$ .

**Comment.** This is analogous to  $(D-2)^2y'=0$  having the general solution  $y(x) = (C_1 + C_2x)e^{2x}$ .

**Check!** Let's check that  $a_n = n \cdot 2^n$  indeed satisfies the recursion  $(N-2)^2 a_n = 0$ .

 $(N-2)n\cdot 2^n = (n+1)2^{n+1} - 2n\cdot 2^n = 2^{n+1}, \text{ so that } (N-2)^2n\cdot 2^n = (N-2)2^{n+1} = 0.$ 

Combined, we obtain the following analog of Theorem 24 for recurrence equations (RE):

**Comment.** Sequences that are solutions to such recurrences are called **constant recursive** or *C*-finite.

**Theorem 49.** Consider the homogeneous linear RE with constant coefficients  $p(N)a_n = 0$ .

- If r is a root of the characteristic polynomial and if k is its multiplicity, then k (independent) solutions of the RE are given by  $n^{j}r^{n}$  for j = 0, 1, ..., k 1.
- Combining these solutions for all roots, gives the general solution.

**Moreover.** If r is the sole largest root by absolute value among the roots contributing to  $a_n$ , then  $a_n \approx Cr^n$  (if r is not repeated—what if it is?) for large n. In particular, it follows that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = r.$ 

Advanced comment. Things can get weird if there are several roots of the same absolute value. Consider, for instance, the case  $a_n = 2^n + (-2)^n$ . Can you see that, in this case, the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$  doesn't even exist?

**Example 50.** Find the general solution to the recursion  $a_{n+3} = 2a_{n+2} + a_{n+1} - 2a_n$ .

**Solution.** The recursion can be written as  $p(N)a_n = 0$  where  $p(N) = N^3 - 2N^2 - N + 2$  has roots 2, 1, -1. (Here, we used some help from a computer algebra system to find the roots.) Hence, the general solution is  $a_n = C_1 \cdot 2^n + C_2 + C_3 \cdot (-1)^n$ .

**Example 51.** Find the general solution to the recursion  $a_{n+3} = 3a_{n+2} - 4a_n$ .

**Solution.** The recursion can be written as  $p(N)a_n = 0$  where  $p(N) = N^3 - 3N^2 + 4$  has roots 2, 2, -1. (Again, we used some help from a computer algebra system to find the roots.) Hence, the general solution is  $a_n = (C_1 + C_2 n) \cdot 2^n + C_3 \cdot (-1)^n$ 

Theorem 52. (Binet's formula)  $F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[ \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right]$ 

**Proof.** The recursion  $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$  can be written as  $p(N)a_n = 0$  where  $p(N) = N^2 - N - 1$  has roots

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \approx -0.618$$

Hence,  $F_n = C_1 \cdot \lambda_1^n + C_2 \cdot \lambda_2^n$  and we only need to figure out the two unknowns  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ . We can do that using the two initial conditions:  $F_0 = C_1 + C_2 \stackrel{!}{=} 0$ ,  $F_1 = C_1 \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} + C_2 \cdot \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \stackrel{!}{=} 1$ . Solving, we find  $C_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$  and  $C_2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$  so that, in conclusion,  $F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n)$ , as claimed 

**Comment.** For large n,  $F_n \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \lambda_1^n$  (because  $\lambda_2^n$  becomes very small). In fact,  $F_n = \text{round}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n\right)$ . **Back to the quotient of Fibonacci numbers.** In particular, because  $\lambda_1^n$  dominates  $\lambda_2^n$ , it is now transparent that the ratios  $\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}$  approach  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$ . To be precise, note that

$$\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\lambda_1^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1})}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n)} = \frac{\lambda_1^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1}}{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n} = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^n}{1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^n} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_1 - 0}{1 - 0} = \lambda_1$$

In fact, it follows from  $\lambda_2 < 0$  that the ratios  $\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}$  approach  $\lambda_1$  in the alternating fashion that we observed numerically earlier. Can you see that?

**Example 53.** Consider the sequence  $a_n$  defined by  $a_{n+2} = 4a_{n+1} + 9a_n$  and  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_1 = 2$ . Determine  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$ 

**Solution.** The recursion can be written as  $p(N)a_n = 0$  where  $p(N) = N^2 - 4N - 9$  has roots  $\frac{4 \pm \sqrt{52}}{2} \approx 5.6056$ , -1.6056. Both roots have to be involved in the solution in order to get integer values. We conclude that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 2 + \sqrt{13} \approx 5.6056$  (because |5.6056| > |-1.6056|).

**Example 54.** (extra) Consider the sequence  $a_n$  defined by  $a_{n+2} = 2a_{n+1} + 4a_n$  and  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ . Determine  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$ .

First few terms of sequence. 0, 1, 2, 8, 24, 80, 256, 832, ...

These are actually related to Fibonacci numbers. Indeed,  $a_n = 2^{n-1}F_n$ . Can you prove this directly from the recursions? Alternatively, this follows from comparing the Binet-like formulas.

**Solution.** Proceeding as in the previous example, we find  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 1 + \sqrt{5} \approx 3.23607$ . **Comment.** With just a little more work, we find the Binet-like formula  $a_n = \frac{(1+\sqrt{5})^n - (1-\sqrt{5})^n}{2\sqrt{5}}$ .