

Example 72. Solve $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, $x \equiv 10 \pmod{13}$.

Solution. $x \equiv 4 \cdot 13 \cdot \frac{13^{-1}}{2} + 10 \cdot 5 \cdot \frac{5^{-1}}{-5} \equiv 104 - 250 \equiv 49 \pmod{65}$

Check. Since it is easy to do so, we should quickly check our answer: $49 \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, $49 \equiv 10 \pmod{13}$

Example 73. Let $p, q > 3$ be distinct primes.

- (a) Show that $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{p}$ has exactly two solutions (i.e. ± 3).
- (b) Show that $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{pq}$ has exactly four solutions (± 3 and two more solutions $\pm a$).

Solution.

- (a) If $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{p}$, then $0 \equiv x^2 - 9 = (x - 3)(x + 3) \pmod{p}$. Since p is a prime it follows that $x - 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $x + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. That is, $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{p}$.
- (b) By the CRT, we have $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{pq}$ if and only if $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{p}$ and $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{q}$. Hence, $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{q}$. These combine in four different ways. For instance, $x \equiv 3 \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv 3 \pmod{q}$ combine to $x \equiv 3 \pmod{pq}$. However, $x \equiv 3 \pmod{p}$ and $x \equiv -3 \pmod{q}$ combine to something modulo pq which is different from 3 or -3 .

Why primes > 3 ? Why did we exclude the primes 2 and 3 in this discussion?

Comment. There is nothing special about 9 . The same is true for $x^2 \equiv a^2 \pmod{pq}$ for each integer a .

Example 74. Determine all solutions to $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{35}$.

Solution. By the CRT:

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &\equiv 9 \pmod{35} \\ \iff x^2 &\equiv 9 \pmod{5} \text{ and } x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{7} \\ \iff x &\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{5} \text{ and } x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{7} \end{aligned}$$

The two obvious solutions modulo 35 are ± 3 . To get one of the two additional solutions, we solve $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$, $x \equiv -3 \pmod{7}$. [Then the other additional solution is the negative of that.]

$$x \equiv 3 \cdot 7 \cdot \frac{7^{-1}}{3} - 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \frac{5^{-1}}{3} \equiv 63 - 45 \equiv 18 \pmod{35}$$

Hence, the solutions are $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{35}$ and $x \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{35}$. $[\pm 18 \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{35}]$

Silicon slave labor. We can let Sage (more next page!) do the work for us:

Sage] `solve_mod(x^2 == 9, 35)`

`[(17), (32), (3), (18)]`

Sage

Any serious cryptography involves computations that need to be done by a machine. Let us see how to use the open-source computer algebra system **Sage** to do basic computations for us.

Sage is freely available at sagemath.org. Instead of installing it locally (it's huge!) we can conveniently use it in the cloud at cocalc.com from any browser.

[For basic computations, you can also simply use the textbox on our course website.]

Sage is built as a **Python** library, so any Python code is valid. For starters, we will use it as a fancy calculator.

Example 75. Let's start with some basics.

```
Sage] 17 % 12
5
Sage] (1 + 5) % 2 # don't forget the brackets
0
Sage] inverse_mod(17, 23)
19
Sage] xgcd(17, 23)
(1, -4, 3)
Sage] -4*17 + 3*23
1
Sage] euler_phi(84)
24
```

Example 76. Why is the following bad?

```
Sage] 3^1003 % 101
27
```

The reason is that this computes 3^{1003} first, and then reduces that huge number modulo 101:

```
Sage] 3^1003
35695912125981779196042292013307897881066394884308000526952849942124372128361032287601\
01447396641767302556399781555972361067577371671671062036425358196474919874574608035466\
17047063989041820507144085408031748926871104815910218235498276622866724603402112436668\
09387969298949770468720050187071564942882735677962417251222021721836167242754312973216\
80102291029227131545307753863985171834477895265551139587894463150442112884933077598746\
0412516173477464286587885568673774760377090940027
```

We know how to efficiently avoid computing huge intermediate numbers (binary exponentiation!). Sage does the same if we instead use something like:

```
Sage] power_mod(3, 1003, 101)
27
```