Example 71. Solve $x \equiv 4 \pmod 5$, $x \equiv 10 \pmod{13}$.

Solution. $x \equiv 4 \cdot 13 \cdot \underbrace{13^{-1}_{\bmod 5}}_{2} + 10 \cdot 5 \cdot \underbrace{5^{-1}_{\bmod 13}}_{-5} \equiv 104 - 250 \equiv 49 \pmod{65}$

Check. Since it is easy to do so, we should quickly check our answer: $49 \equiv 4 \pmod 5$, $49 \equiv 10 \pmod{13}$

Example 72. Let $p, q > 3$ be distinct primes.

(a) Show that $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod p$ has exactly two solutions (i.e. ± 3).

(b) Show that $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{pq}$ has exactly four solutions (± 3 and two more solutions $\pm a$).

Solution.

(a) If $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod p$, then $0 \equiv x^2 - 9 = (x-3)(x+3) \pmod p$. Since p is a prime it follows that $x - 3 \equiv 0 \pmod p$ or $x + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod p$. That is, $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod p$.

(b) By the CRT, we have $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{pq}$ if and only if $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod p$ and $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod q$. Hence, $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod p$ and $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod q$. These combine in four different ways.
For instance, $x \equiv 3 \pmod p$ and $x \equiv 3 \pmod q$ combine to $x \equiv 3 \pmod{pq}$. However, $x \equiv 3 \pmod p$ and $x \equiv -3 \pmod q$ combine to something modulo pq which is different from 3 or -3.

Why primes >3? Why did we exclude the primes 2 and 3 in this discussion?

Comment. There is nothing special about 9. The same is true for $x^2 \equiv a^2 \pmod{pq}$ for each integer a.

Example 73. Determine all solutions to $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{35}$.

Solution. By the CRT:

$$\begin{aligned} & x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{35} \\ \iff\ & x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod 5 \text{ and } x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod 7 \\ \iff\ & x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 5 \text{ and } x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod 7 \end{aligned}$$

The two obvious solutions modulo 35 are ± 3. To get one of the two additional solutions, we solve $x \equiv 3 \pmod 5$, $x \equiv -3 \pmod 7$. [Then the other additional solution is the negative of that.]

$x \equiv 3 \cdot 7 \cdot \underbrace{7^{-1}_{\bmod 5}}_{3} - 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \underbrace{5^{-1}_{\bmod 7}}_{3} \equiv 63 - 45 \equiv 18 \pmod{35}$

Hence, the solutions are $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{35}$ and $x \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{35}$. [$\pm 18 \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{35}$]

Silicon slave labor. We can let Sage (more next page!) do the work for us:

```
Sage] solve_mod(x^2 == 9, 35)
```

$[(17), (32), (3), (18)]$

Sage

Any serious cryptography involves computations that need to be done by a machine. Let us see how to use the open-source computer algebra system **Sage** to do basic computations for us.

Sage is freely available at sagemath.org. Instead of installing it locally (it's huge!) we can conveniently use it in the cloud at cocalc.com from any browser.

[For basic computations, you can also simply use the textbox on our course website.]

Sage is built as a **Python** library, so any Python code is valid. For starters, we will use it as a fancy calculator.

Example 74. Let's start with some basics.

```
Sage] 17 % 12
```

5

```
Sage] (1 + 5) % 2  # don't forget the brackets
```

0

```
Sage] inverse_mod(17, 23)
```

19

```
Sage] xgcd(17, 23)
```

$(1, -4, 3)$

```
Sage] -4*17 + 3*23
```

1

```
Sage] euler_phi(84)
```

24

Example 75. Why is the following bad?

```
Sage] 3^1003 % 101
```

27

The reason is that this computes 3^{1003} first, and then reduces that huge number modulo 101:

```
Sage] 3^1003
```

$$35695912125981779196042292013307897881066394884308000526952849942124372128361032287601\\
01447396641767302556399781555972361067577371671671062036425358196474919874574608035466\\
17047063989041820507144085408031748926871104815910218235498276622866724603402112436668\\
09387969298949770468720050187071564942882735677962417251222021721836167242754312973216\\
80102291029227131545307753863985171834477895265551139587894463150442112884933077598746\\
04125161734774642865878855686737747603770909400 27$$

We know how to efficiently avoid computing huge intermediate numbers (binary exponentiation!). Sage does the same if we instead use something like:

```
Sage] power_mod(3, 1003, 101)
```

27