

## 9 Chinese remainder theorem

### Example 88. (warmup)

- (a) If  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$ , what can we say about  $x \pmod{5}$ ?  
 (b) If  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ , what can we say about  $x \pmod{5}$ ?

**Solution.**

- (a) If  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$ , then  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ .  
 [Why?! Because  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$  if and only if  $x = 3 + 10m$ , which modulo 5 reduces to  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ .]  
 (b) Absolutely nothing!  $x = 3 + 7m$  can be anything modulo 5 (because  $7 \equiv 2$  is invertible modulo 5).

### Example 89. If $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ , what can we say about $x \pmod{15}$ ?

**Solution.**  $x \equiv 3, 8, 13 \pmod{15}$

### Example 90. If $x \equiv 32 \pmod{35}$ , then $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ , $x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ .

**Why?!** As in the first part of the warmup, if  $x \equiv 32 \pmod{35}$ , then  $x \equiv 32 \pmod{5}$  and  $x \equiv 32 \pmod{7}$ .

The Chinese remainder theorem says that this can be reversed!

That is, if  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$  and  $x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ , then the value of  $x$  modulo  $5 \cdot 7 = 35$  is determined.

[How to find the value  $x \equiv 32 \pmod{35}$  is discussed in the next example.]

### Example 91. Solve $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ , $x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ .

**Solution.**  $x \equiv 2 \cdot 7 \cdot \frac{7^{-1}}{3} + 4 \cdot 5 \cdot \frac{5^{-1}}{3} \equiv 42 + 60 \equiv 32 \pmod{35}$

**Important comment.** Can you see how we need 5 and 7 to be coprime here?

**Brute force solution.** Note that, while in principle we can always perform a brute force search, this is not practical for larger problems. Here, if  $x$  is a solution, then so is  $x + 35$ . So we only look for solutions modulo 35.

Since  $x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ , the only candidates for solutions are 4, 11, 18, ... Among these, we find  $x = 32$ .

[We can also focus on  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$  and consider the candidates 2, 7, 12, ..., but that is even more work.]

### Example 92. Solve $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , $x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ .

**Solution.**  $x \equiv 2 \cdot 5 \cdot \frac{5^{-1}}{-1} + 1 \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{3^{-1}}{2} \equiv -10 + 6 \equiv 11 \pmod{15}$

**Theorem 93. (Chinese Remainder Theorem)** Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r$  be positive integers with  $\gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then the system of congruences

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1}, \quad \dots, \quad x \equiv a_r \pmod{n_r}$$

has a simultaneous solution, which is unique modulo  $n = n_1 \cdots n_r$ .

**In other words.** The Chinese remainder theorem provides a bijective (i.e., 1-1 and onto) correspondence

$$x \pmod{nm} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x \pmod{n} \\ x \pmod{m} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**For instance.** Let's make the correspondence explicit for  $n = 2$ ,  $m = 3$ :

$$0 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, 1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, 2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, 3 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, 4 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, 5 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Example 94.** Solve  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ .

**Solution.**  $x \equiv 1 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \underbrace{[(5 \cdot 7)_{\text{mod}4}^{-1}]}_3 + 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot \underbrace{[(4 \cdot 7)_{\text{mod}5}^{-1}]}_2 + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot \underbrace{[(4 \cdot 5)_{\text{mod}7}^{-1}]}_{-1}$   
 $\equiv 105 + 112 - 60 = 157 \equiv 17 \pmod{140}$ .

**Alternative solution.** Alternatively, we can solve the problem in two steps:

First, we solve  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$  and get  $x \equiv 1 \cdot 5 \cdot \underbrace{5_{\text{mod}4}^{-1}}_1 + 2 \cdot 4 \cdot \underbrace{4_{\text{mod}5}^{-1}}_{-1} \equiv 5 - 8 = -3 \pmod{20}$ .

Then, we solve  $x \equiv -3 \pmod{20}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$  to get  $x \equiv -3 \cdot 7 \cdot \underbrace{7_{\text{mod}20}^{-1}}_3 + 3 \cdot 20 \cdot \underbrace{20_{\text{mod}7}^{-1}}_{-1} \equiv 17 \pmod{140}$ .

**Silicon slave labor.** Once you are comfortable doing it by hand, you can easily let Sage do the work for you:

Sage] `crt([1,2,3], [4,5,7])`

17

**Example 95.**

- (a) Let  $p > 3$  be a prime. Show that  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{p}$  has exactly two solutions (i.e.  $\pm 3$ ).
- (b) Let  $p, q > 3$  be distinct primes. Show that  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{pq}$  always has exactly four solutions ( $\pm 3$  and two more solutions  $\pm a$ ).

**Solution.**

(a) If  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{p}$ , then  $0 \equiv x^2 - 9 = (x - 3)(x + 3) \pmod{p}$ . Since  $p$  is a prime it follows that  $x - 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $x + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . That is,  $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{p}$ .

(b) By the CRT, we have  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{pq}$  if and only if  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{p}$  and  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{q}$ . Hence,  $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{p}$  and  $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{q}$ . These combine in four different ways.

For instance,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{p}$  and  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{q}$  combine to  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{pq}$ . However,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{p}$  and  $x \equiv -3 \pmod{q}$  combine to something modulo  $pq$  which is different from 3 or  $-3$ .

**Why primes  $> 3$ ?** Why did we exclude the primes 2 and 3 in this discussion?

**Comment.** There is nothing special about 9. The same is true for  $x^2 \equiv a^2 \pmod{pq}$  for any integer  $a$ .

**Example 96.** Determine all solutions to  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{35}$ .

**Solution.** By the CRT:

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &\equiv 9 \pmod{35} \\ \iff x^2 &\equiv 9 \pmod{5} \text{ and } x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{7} \\ \iff x &\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{5} \text{ and } x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{7} \end{aligned}$$

The two obvious solutions modulo 35 are  $\pm 3$ . To get one of the two additional solutions, we solve  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ ,  $x \equiv -3 \pmod{7}$ . [Then the other additional solution is the negative of that.]

$$x \equiv 3 \cdot 7 \cdot \underbrace{7_{\text{mod}5}^{-1}}_3 - 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \underbrace{5_{\text{mod}7}^{-1}}_3 \equiv 63 - 45 \equiv -17 \pmod{35}$$

Hence, the solutions are  $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{35}$  and  $x \equiv \pm 17 \pmod{35}$ .

**Example 97. (review)** Solve  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$ .

**Solution.**  $x \equiv 2 \cdot 11 \cdot \frac{11^{-1}_{\text{mod } 7}}{2} + 3 \cdot 7 \cdot \frac{7^{-1}_{\text{mod } 11}}{-3} \equiv 44 - 63 \equiv 58 \pmod{77}$

**Example 98. (review)** Determine all solutions to  $x^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{77}$ .

**Solution.** By the CRT:

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &\equiv 4 \pmod{77} \\ \iff x^2 &\equiv 4 \pmod{7} \text{ and } x^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{11} \\ \iff x &\equiv \pm 2 \pmod{7} \text{ and } x \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{11} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there are four solutions modulo 77:  $\pm 2, \pm a$ . To find  $a$ , we solve  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ ,  $x \equiv -2 \pmod{11}$ .

$$x \equiv 2 \cdot 11 \cdot \frac{11^{-1}_{\text{mod } 7}}{2} - 2 \cdot 7 \cdot \frac{7^{-1}_{\text{mod } 11}}{-3} \equiv 44 + 42 \equiv 9 \pmod{77}$$

Hence, the four solutions are  $x \equiv \pm 2, \pm 9 \pmod{77}$ .

**Example 99.** By the Chinese remainder theorem there is a bijective correspondence

$$x \pmod{nm} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x \pmod{n} \\ x \pmod{m} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here's a graphical representation for  $n = 3$ ,  $m = 5$ . Do you see the pattern?

		(mod 5)												
		0 1 2 3 4												
(mod 3)	0	0	6		3		~	(mod 3)	0	0	6	12	3	9
	1		1	·	·	4			1	10	1	7	13	4
	2	5		2	·	·			2	5	11	2	8	14

**Example 100.** Solve  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $3x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ ,  $5x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ .

**Solution.** Note that  $3^{-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$  and  $5^{-1} \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ .

Hence, we can simplify the congruences to  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $x \equiv 2 \cdot 2 \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$ ,  $x \equiv 2 \cdot 3 \equiv -1 \pmod{7}$ .

Using the CRT,  $x \equiv 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot \frac{[(5 \cdot 7)_{\text{mod } 3}^{-1}]}{2} - 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot \frac{[(3 \cdot 7)_{\text{mod } 5}^{-1}]}{1} - 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \frac{[(3 \cdot 5)_{\text{mod } 7}^{-1}]}{1}$

$$\equiv 140 - 21 - 15 = 104 \equiv -1 \pmod{105}.$$

**Note.** Can you see how we could have totally gotten that answer without the CRT computation?

**Example 101.** How many solutions does  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{M}$  have for  $M = 55$ ? For  $M = 385$ ? For  $M = 110$ ? For  $M = 105$ ?

**Solution.**

- (a)  $M = 55 = 5 \cdot 11$ . There are 2 solutions modulo 5 and 2 solutions modulo 11. By the CRT, these combine to  $2 \cdot 2 = 4$  solutions modulo 55.
- (b)  $M = 385 = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ . There are 2 solutions modulo 5, 2 solutions modulo 7, and 2 solutions modulo 11. By the CRT, these combine to  $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 8$  solutions modulo 385.
- (c)  $M = 110 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ . There is 1 solution modulo 2 (why?!), 2 solutions modulo 5, and 2 solutions modulo 11. By the CRT, these combine to  $1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 4$  solutions modulo 110.
- (d)  $M = 105 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . There is 1 solution modulo 3 (why?!), 2 solutions modulo 5, and 2 solutions modulo 7. By the CRT, these combine to  $1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 4$  solutions modulo 105.

## 10 Euler's phi function

**Definition 102.** Euler's phi function  $\phi(n)$  denotes the number of integers in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  that are coprime to  $n$ .

[For  $n > 1$ , we might as well replace  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ .]

**Important comment.** In other words,  $\phi(n)$  counts how many numbers are invertible modulo  $n$ .

**Example 103.** Compute  $\phi(n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ .

**Solution.**  $\phi(1) = 1$ ,  $\phi(2) = 1$ ,  $\phi(3) = 2$ ,  $\phi(4) = 2$ ,  $\phi(5) = 4$ ,  $\phi(6) = 2$ ,  $\phi(7) = 6$ ,  $\phi(8) = 4$ .

**Observation 1.**  $\phi(n) = n - 1$  if and only if  $n$  is a prime.

This is true because  $\phi(n) = n - 1$  if and only if  $n$  is coprime to all of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ .

**Observation 2.** If  $p$  is a prime, then  $\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} = p^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ .

This is true because, if  $p$  is a prime, then  $n = p^k$  is coprime to all  $\{1, 2, \dots, p^k\}$  except  $p, 2p, \dots, p^k$ .

**Theorem 104.**

- (a)  $\phi(n) = n - 1$  if and only if  $n$  is a prime.
- (b) If  $p$  is a prime, then  $\phi(p^k) = p^k - \frac{p^k}{p} = p^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ .
- (c)  $\phi$  is multiplicative, that is,  $\phi(nm) = \phi(n)\phi(m)$  whenever  $n, m$  are coprime.

- (d) If the prime factorization of  $n$  is  $n = p_1^{k_1} \dots p_r^{k_r}$ , then  $\phi(n) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right)$ .

**Proof.**

- (a)  $\phi(n) = n - 1$  if and only if  $n$  is coprime to all of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . That's true for  $n$  precisely when it is a prime.
- (b) If  $p$  is a prime, then  $n = p^k$  is coprime to all  $\{1, 2, \dots, p^k\}$  except  $p, 2p, \dots, p^k$ .
- (c) Note that  $a$  is invertible modulo  $nm$  if and only if  $a$  is invertible modulo both  $n$  and  $m$ .  
The claim therefore follows from the Chinese remainder theorem which provides a bijective (i.e., 1-1 and onto) correspondence

$$x \pmod{nm} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x \pmod{n} \\ x \pmod{m} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Alternatively, our book contains a direct proof (page 133).

- (d) Using the two previous parts, we have

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{k_1}) \dots \phi(p_r^{k_r}) = p_1^{k_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \dots p_r^{k_r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right) = n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_r}\right). \quad \square$$

**Example 105.** Compute  $\phi(1000)$ .

**Solution.**  $\phi(1000) = \phi(2^3 \cdot 5^3) = 1000 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{5}\right) = 400$ .

**Alternatively.**  $\phi(1000) = \phi(2^3) \cdot \phi(5^3) = (8 - 4)(125 - 25) = 400$

**Example 106. (extra)**

(a) Solve  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{25}$ .

(b) Solve  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ ,  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ .

**Solution. (final answer only)**

(a)  $x \equiv 78 \pmod{100}$

(b)  $x \equiv 135 \pmod{252}$

**Example 107.** Compute  $\phi(980)$ .

**Solution.**  $\phi(980) = \phi(2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2) = (2^2 - 2)(5 - 1)(7^2 - 7) = 336$ .

**Example 108.** Determine all solutions to  $x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{110}$ .**Solution.** By the CRT:

$$x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{110}$$

$$\iff x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{2} \text{ and } x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{5} \text{ and } x^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{11}$$

$$\iff x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{2} \text{ and } x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{5} \text{ and } x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{11}$$

$$\iff x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{5} \text{ and } x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{11}$$

Let us write down all possible four combinations:

solution #1	solution #2	solution #3	solution #4
$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$	$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$	$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$	$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$
$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$	$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$	$x \equiv -3 \pmod{5}$	$x \equiv -3 \pmod{5}$
$x \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$	$x \equiv -3 \pmod{11}$	$x \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$	$x \equiv -3 \pmod{11}$
$x \equiv 3 \pmod{110}$	$x \equiv a \pmod{110}$	$x \equiv -a \pmod{110}$	$x \equiv -3 \pmod{110}$

To get the non-obvious solution  $a$ , we solve  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ ,  $x \equiv -3 \pmod{11}$ .

$$x \equiv 1 \cdot 55 \cdot \underbrace{55^{-1}_{\pmod{2}}}_1 + 3 \cdot 22 \cdot \underbrace{22^{-1}_{\pmod{5}}}_{-2} - 3 \cdot 10 \cdot \underbrace{10^{-1}_{\pmod{11}}}_{-1} \equiv 55 - 132 + 30 \equiv -47 \pmod{110}$$

Hence, the solutions are  $x \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{110}$  and  $x \equiv \pm 47 \pmod{110}$ .

## 11 Using Sage as a fancy calculator

Any serious number theory applications, such as those in cryptography, involve computations that need to be done by a machine. Let us see how to use the open-source computer algebra system **Sage** to do basic computations for us.

Sage is freely available at [sagemath.org](http://sagemath.org). Instead of installing it locally (it's huge!) we can conveniently use it in the cloud at [cocalc.com](http://cocalc.com) from any browser.

Sage is built as a **Python** library, so any Python code is valid. For starters, we will use it as a fancy calculator.

**Example 109.** Let's start with some basics.

```
Sage] 17 % 12
5
Sage] (1 + 5) % 2 # don't forget the brackets
0
Sage] inverse_mod(17, 23)
19
Sage] xgcd(17, 23)
(1, -4, 3)
Sage] -4*17 + 3*23
1
```

**Example 110.** Can you figure out what is being computed here?

```
Sage] crt([2,-2], [7,11])
9
```

**Example 111.** Why is the following bad?

```
Sage] 3^1003 % 101
27
```

The reason is that this computes  $3^{1003}$  first, and then reduces that huge number modulo 101:

```
Sage] 3^1003
35695912125981779196042292013307897881066394884308000526952849942124372128361032287601\
01447396641767302556399781555972361067577371671671062036425358196474919874574608035466\
17047063989041820507144085408031748926871104815910218235498276622866724603402112436668\
09387969298949770468720050187071564942882735677962417251222021721836167242754312973216\
80102291029227131545307753863985171834477895265551139587894463150442112884933077598746\
0412516173477464286587885568673774760377090940027
```

We know how to avoid computing huge intermediate numbers. Sage does the same if we instead use something like:

```
Sage] power_mod(3, 1003, 101)
27
```