Review: Matrix calculus

Example 1. Matrix multiplication is not commutative!

- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$

  Multiplication (on the right) with that "almost identity matrix" is performing the column operation $C_2 + 2C_1 \Rightarrow C_2$ (i.e. 2 times the first column is added to the second column).

- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 10 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

  Multiplication (on the left) with the same matrix is performing the row operation $R_1 + 2R_2 \Rightarrow R_1$.

  First comment. This hints at a second interpretation of matrix multiplication: instead of taking linear combinations of columns of the first matrix, we can also take linear combinations of rows of the second matrix.

  Second comment. The row operations we are doing during Gaussian elimination can be realized by multiplying (on the left) with "almost identity matrices".

Example 2. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 \end{bmatrix}$ whereas $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$.

If you know about the dot product, do you see a connection with the first case?

Example 3. Suppose A is $m \times n$ and B is $p \times q$. When does AB make sense? In that case, what are the dimensions of AB?

AB makes sense if $n = p$. In that case, AB is a $m \times q$ matrix.

Example 4. $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

On the RHS we have the **identity matrix**, usually denoted I or I_2 (since it's the 2×2 identity matrix here).

Hence, the two matrices on the left are inverses of each other: $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Example 5. The following formula immediately gives us the inverse of a 2×2 matrix (if it exists). It is worth remembering!

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{provided that } ad - bc \neq 0$$

Let's check that! $\frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} ad - bc & 0 \\ 0 & -cb + ad \end{bmatrix} = I_2$

In particular, a 2×2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible $\iff ad - bc \neq 0$.

Recall that this is the **determinant**: $\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = ad - bc$.

$$\det(A) = 0 \iff A \text{ is not invertible}$$

Armin Straub
straub@southalabama.edu

Example 6. Let us do Gaussian elimination on $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$ until we have an echelon form:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \overset{R_2 - 2R_1 \Rightarrow R_2}{\rightsquigarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

As in the very first example, the row operation can be encoded by multiplication with an "almost identity matrix" E:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{E} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}}_{A} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix}}_{U}$$

Since $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (no calculation needed!), this means that

$$A = E^{-1}U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We factored A as the product of a lower and an upper triangular matrix!

$A = LU$ is known as the **LU decomposition** of A.

L is lower triangular, U is upper triangular.

If A is $m \times n$, then L is an invertible lower triangular $m \times m$ matrix, and U is a usual **echelon form** of A. Every matrix A has a LU decomposition (after possibly swapping some rows of A first).

- The matrix U is just the echelon form of A produced during Gaussian elimination.
- The matrix L can be constructed, entry-by-entry, by simply recording the row operations used during Gaussian elimination. (No extra work needed!)

Recall. The **RREF** (row-reduced echelon form) of A is obtained from the echelon form by scaling the pivots to 1, and then eliminating the entries above the pivots. In our example, the RREF of A is the 2×2 identity matrix.

[That's not surprising: A square matrix is invertible if and only if its RREF is the identity matrix. If that isn't obvious to you, think about how you invert a matrix using Gaussian elimination (after augmenting with identity...).]

Course comment:

Homework is posted after every class to our course website.

Today's homework needs to be submitted online before 1/17.