

### Example 135. (cont'd)

**Solution.** 
$$\int_C f(x, y) ds = \int_{C_1} f(x, y) ds + \int_{C_2} f(x, y) ds = \int_0^\pi f(2\cos(t), 2\sin(t)) 2 dt + \int_{-2}^2 f(t, 0) dt$$

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{C_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \int_{C_2} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_0^\pi \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -2\sin(t) \\ 2\cos(t) \end{bmatrix} dt + \int_{-2}^2 \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} dt$$

$$= \int_0^\pi [-2\sin(t)f(2\cos(t), 2\sin(t)) + 2\cos(t)g(2\cos(t), 2\sin(t))] dt + \int_{-2}^2 f(t, 0) dt$$

**Example 136.** If  $f(x, y)$ , then  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} f_x(x, y) \\ f_y(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$  is a vector field. We say that  $\mathbf{F}$  is a **gradient field** and  $f$  is a **potential function** for  $\mathbf{F}$ . E.g.,  $f$  gravitational potential,  $\mathbf{F}$  gravitational field.

**Theorem 137. (Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals)** Let  $C$  be a curve from  $A$  to  $B$ .

$$\int_C \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r} = f(B) - f(A)$$

Fine print: naturally,  $f$  needs to be continuously differentiable on a domain containing the entire curve  $C$ .

This is a generalization of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus:  $\int_a^b f'(t) dt = f(b) - f(a)$ .

A vector field  $\mathbf{F}$  is **conservative** (on a region  $D$ ) if for any curve  $C$  (in  $D$ ) the line integral  $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$  is **path independent** (i.e. it only depends on the start and end point of  $C$ ).

The Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals says that gradient fields are conservative. The following result asserts that the converse is true:

**Theorem 138.**  $\mathbf{F}$  is a conservative field if and only if  $\mathbf{F}$  is a gradient field.

Fine print: the statements are about an open region  $D$  on which  $\mathbf{F}$  is continuous.

**Example 139.** Let  $C$  be the curve from  $(0, 0, 0)$  to  $(1, 1, 2)$  parametrized by  $\mathbf{r}(t) = t\mathbf{i} + t^2\mathbf{j} + 2t\mathbf{k}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and let  $L$  be the line segment from  $(0, 0, 0)$  to  $(1, 1, 2)$ .

Let  $f(x, y, z) = xyz$ , and  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f = yz\mathbf{i} + xz\mathbf{j} + xy\mathbf{k}$ . Evaluate  $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$  and  $\int_L \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ .

**Solution. (with Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals)** Both curves are from  $A = (0, 0, 0)$  to  $B = (1, 1, 2)$ . Since  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ , the line integral over  $\mathbf{F}$  is path independent and, in both cases,

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_L \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = f(B) - f(A) = [xyz]_{(0,0,0)}^{(1,1,2)} = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 - 0 \cdot 0 \cdot 0 = 2.$$

**Solution. (without Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals)** Do it! For instance,

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_0^1 \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'(t) dt = \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} t^2 \cdot 2t \\ t \cdot 2t \\ t \cdot t^2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2t \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} dt = \int_0^1 (2t^3 + 4t^3 + 2t^3) dt = [2t^4]_0^1 = 2.$$

**Example 140.** Redo Example 139 with  $\mathbf{F} = xz\mathbf{i} - xy\mathbf{k}$ .

**Solution.** Do it! Your final answers should be  $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{6}$  and  $\int_L \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$ .

In particular, we conclude that  $\mathbf{F}$  cannot possibly be a gradient field (i.e. there is no "antiderivative" [potential is the professional word]  $f$  such that  $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ ).