

Example 25. Sketch two random vectors \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} in standard position. Then, also sketch:

- (a) $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}$
- (b) $2\mathbf{w}$
- (c) $-\mathbf{w}$
- (d) $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}$

The dot product

If $\mathbf{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ and $\mathbf{w} = \langle w_1, w_2, w_3 \rangle$, then their **dot product** is $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = v_1w_1 + v_2w_2 + v_3w_3$.
Likewise, if $\mathbf{v} = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ and $\mathbf{w} = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$, then $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = v_1w_1 + v_2w_2$.

Note that the dot product of two vectors is a scalar! That's why the dot product is also called **scalar product**. (Another name you might hear it referred to is **inner product**.)

Example 26. Let $\mathbf{v} = 2\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{j} + 3\mathbf{k}$. Compute the following:

- (a) $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$
- (b) $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}$
- (c) $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}$
- (d) $\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w})$

Solution.

(a) $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle 0, 1, 3 \rangle = 2 \cdot 0 + (-1) \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 3 = 2$

(b) $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \dots = 2$ as well. It is clear that always $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}$. In other words, the dot product is commutative.

(c) $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 2^2 + (-1)^2 + 1^2 = 6 = |\mathbf{v}|^2$. Again, it is clear that always $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = |\mathbf{v}|^2$.

(d) $\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, 0, 4 \rangle = 4 + 0 + 4 = 8$

This is the same as $\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 6 + 2 = 8$.

We always have $\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$. In other words, the dot product is distributive.

Example 27. Let $\mathbf{v} = \langle -1, 1 \rangle$, $\mathbf{w} = \langle 2, 2 \rangle$. Then $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$. What is the geometric significance?

- Make a sketch!
- Realize that the two vectors are orthogonal (in other words, perpendicular, or at 90° angle).

You might also notice that \mathbf{w} is precisely 2 times as long as \mathbf{v} . That's an interesting observation, but does not explain why $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$. [For instance, for $\mathbf{v} = \langle -1, 1 \rangle$ and $\mathbf{w} = \langle 3, 3 \rangle$, we still have $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$.]

Two vectors \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} are **orthogonal** if and only if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$.

Appreciate how surprisingly simple it is to decide whether two vectors are at a right angle!
Of course, behind all this is... Pythagoras! More next time.