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#### Abstract

We establish the triple integral evaluation $$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z d y d x}{x(x+y)(x+y+z)}=\frac{5}{24} \zeta(3)
$$ as well as the equivalent polylogarithmic double sum $$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}}=\frac{13}{24} \zeta(3)
$$

This double sum is related to, but less approachable than, similar sums studied by Ramanujan. It is also reminiscent of Euler's formula $\zeta(2,1)=$ $\zeta(3)$, which is the simplest instance of duality of multiple polylogarithms. We review this duality and apply it to derive a companion identity. We also discuss approaches based on computer algebra. All of our approaches ultimately require the introduction of polylogarithms and nontrivial relations between them. It remains an open challenge to relate the triple integral or the double sum to $\zeta(3)$ directly.


## 1 Introduction

The evaluation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(3)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x}^{1} \int_{y}^{1} \frac{d z d y d x}{(1-x) y z} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]is given by M. Kontsevich and D. Zagier [11] as an illustration that $\zeta(3)$ is a period, in the sense that it is the value of an absolutely convergent integral of a rational function with rational coefficients, over a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ given by polynomial inequalities $(0<x<y<z<1)$ with rational coefficients. The goal of this work is to prove and discuss the following, much less obvious, variation of a triple integral evaluation.
Theorem 1.1. We have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}:=\int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z d y d x}{x(x+y)(x+y+z)}=\frac{5}{24} \zeta(3) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

In contrast to (1.1), it appears to be a rather tricky problem to relate the triple integral (1.2) to $\zeta(3)$ directly. Indeed, all of our approaches to this integral have ultimately required the introduction of polylogarithms and nontrivial relations between them. We give such a proof in Section 3.

The integral (1.2) might be seen as a continuous analog of multiple zeta values defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}>n_{2}>\cdots>n_{k} \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{s_{1}} n_{2}^{s_{2}} \cdots n_{k}^{s_{k}}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

These sums were introduced by Euler. The reader is referred to the site [10] maintained by M. Hoffman for a large collection of papers related to these series. For instance, when $k=3$, the multiple zeta values can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{s_{3}}(p+q)^{s_{2}}(p+q+r)^{s_{1}}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the similarity with $Z_{3}$ becomes apparent.
Remark 1.2. Algorithmic approaches to computing (period) integrals such as the one in (1.2) are described in [5] and [16]. In particular, Panzer implemented his symbolic integration approach [16] using hyperlogarithms in a Maple package called HyperInt. Using this package, the integral (1.2) is automatically evaluated as

$$
Z_{3}=\frac{19}{8} \zeta(3)-2 \log (2) \zeta(2)-\operatorname{Li}_{2,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{1,1,1}\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, 2\right)
$$

featuring the multiple polylogarithms reviewed in Section 2. Simplifying the right-hand side to a multiple of $\zeta(3)$, however, is not straightforward. Further comments on evaluating the integral $Z_{3}$ with the help of computer algebra are included in Section 7.

In Section 4, we show that the triple integral evaluation of Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following relation between polylogarithms.

Theorem 1.3. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}}=\frac{13}{24} \zeta(3) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of the triple integral, it is not clear how to relate this double sum directly to $\zeta(3)$. In the notation for multiple polylogarithms reviewed in Section 2, the double sum in (1.5) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}=-\operatorname{Li}_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{2},-1\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Readers familiar with multiple polylogarithms might therefore wonder whether the identity (1.5) is an instance of duality [6, Section 6.1]. However, it appears that duality does not help in evaluating (1.6). We review duality in Section 5 and show that it instead naturally provides the companion identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{k, m, n \geq 1 \\ k \text { odd }}} \frac{1}{3^{n}} \frac{1}{k(k+m)(k+m+n)}=\frac{13}{48} \zeta(3) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that (1.5) is reminiscent of Ramanujan's identity [2, p. 259] (see also $[6,(7.4)]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{j^{2} 2^{j}}=\zeta(3)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \log (2) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we show in Section 6 that Ramanujan's approach can be applied to evaluate the non-alternating version of (1.5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}}=\frac{5}{8} \zeta(3) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further indicate that (1.5) does not succumb readily to the same approach.
Remark 1.4. We conclude this introduction by observing that the 2-dimensional analog of (1.2), namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2}:=\int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d y d x}{x(x+y)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is simple to evaluate. For instance, we can transform the domain of integration to the unit square via the change of variables $x \mapsto 1 / x$ to obtain

$$
Z_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d y d x}{1+x y}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}(-x y)^{n} d y d x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(n+1)^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)
$$

## 2 Polylogarithms

The polylogarithm function is defined, for $|z|<1$, by the power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Li}_{s}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{k^{s}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ is also called the dilogarithm and $\mathrm{Li}_{3}$ the trilogarithm. For other values of $z$, the polylogarithms are defined by analytic continuation, with the principal branch obtained from a cut along the positive real axis from $z=1$ to $\infty$. We record that the analytic continuation of $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ is provided by the integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)=\int_{1}^{1-z} \frac{\log (t)}{1-t} d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These functions satisfy a large collection of identities. Among these are the duplication formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Li}_{s}(-z)=-\operatorname{Li}_{s}(z)+2^{1-s} \operatorname{Li}_{s}\left(z^{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the inversion formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Li}_{n}(z)+(-1)^{n} \operatorname{Li}_{n}(1 / z)=-\frac{(2 \pi i)^{n}}{n!} B_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\log (-z)}{2 \pi i}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for positive integers $n$ and $z \notin[0,1]$. Here, the $B_{n}$ are the Bernoulli polynomials, of which we will only use $B_{2}(x)=x^{2}-x+\frac{1}{6}$ and $B_{3}(x)=x^{3}-$ $\frac{3}{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{2} x$. In the cases $n=2$ and $n=3$ the inversion formula thus becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)=-\mathrm{Li}_{2}(1 / z)-\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2}(-z)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \operatorname{Li}_{3}(z)=\mathrm{Li}_{3}(1 / z)-\frac{1}{6} \log ^{3}(-z)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \log (-z) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The dilogarithm and the trilogarithm satisfy a number of additional relations. A small selection of formulæ is recorded in [15, Section 25.12] (see also [2, Chapter 9]). A more complete collection appears in [12] and [13]. For our purposes, we record the reflection formulæ

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)+\operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-z)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-\log (z) \log (1-z) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Li}_{3}(z)+\mathrm{Li}_{3}(1-z)+\mathrm{Li}_{3}(1-1 / z) \\
& \quad=\zeta(3)+\frac{1}{6} \log ^{3}(z)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \log (z)-\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2}(z) \log (1-z) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

as well as the identity $[12,(6.34)]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right) & -\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{-z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}\right)-2 \mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{-z}{1-z}\right)-2 \mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{z}{1+z}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
& +\frac{7}{4} \zeta(3)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} \log \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \log \left(\frac{1-z^{2}}{z^{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.7) and (2.8), together with $\operatorname{Li}_{3}(-1)=-\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3)$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}-\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2}(2)  \tag{2.10}\\
& \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{7}{8} \zeta(3)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \log (2)+\frac{1}{6} \log ^{3}(2) \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining the mentioned identities as done, for instance, in [3, (39.1), (39.4); p. 324] where Ramanujan considers such combinations, we can further derive the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2}(3) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by setting $z=1 / 2$ in (2.9), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)=\frac{13}{6} \zeta(3)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \log (3)+\frac{1}{6} \log ^{3}(3) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generalizing (2.1), the multiple polylogarithms are the sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Li}_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}>n_{2}>\cdots>n_{k} \geq 1} \frac{z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}} \cdots z_{k}^{n_{k}}}{n_{1}^{s_{1}} n_{2}^{s_{2}} \cdots n_{k}^{s_{k}}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

though notation varies throughout the literature (in particular, the order of the arguments is sometimes reversed; the choice here is consistent with multiple zeta values as defined in (1.3), so that (2.14) becomes $\zeta\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ when $z_{1}=$ $z_{2}=\ldots=z_{k}=1$ ). A vast literature, see, for instance, [6] and the references therein, exists concerning relations between values of multiple polylogarithms.

## 3 A proof of the triple integral evaluation

In this section, we evaluate the triple integral $Z_{3}$ as claimed in Theorem 1.1. We begin by integrating with respect to $x$ and, then, with respect to $y$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{3} & =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\log (y+1)}{y z}-\frac{\log (y+z+1)}{z(y+z)}\right] d y d z \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-z-1)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-1)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-z)\right] \frac{d z}{z}  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-z-1)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-1)\right] \frac{d z}{z}+\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3) .
\end{align*}
$$

For the second equality, we used the fact that the derivative of $\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-x)$ is $-\log (x+1) / x$, while, for the third, we integrated term by term to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-z) \frac{d z}{z}=\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^{n}}{n^{2}} \frac{d z}{z}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n^{3}}=-\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use the integral representation (2.2) of the dilogarithm to conclude

$$
Z_{3}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{2}^{2+z} \frac{\log (t) d t}{1-t} \frac{d z}{z}+\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3)
$$

Exchanging the order of integration and evaluating the inner integral, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log (t) \log (t+2)}{1+t} d t+\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now replace

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \log (t) \log (t+2)=\log ^{2}(t)+\log ^{2}(t+2)-\log ^{2}\left(\frac{t}{t+2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the integral (3.3), and make the change of variables $t /(t+2) \rightarrow t$ in the third of the three resulting integrals, to find

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{3} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log ^{2}(t)}{1+t} d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log ^{2}(t+2)}{1+t} d t-\int_{0}^{1 / 3} \frac{\log ^{2}(t)}{1-t^{2}} d t+\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log ^{2}(t+2)}{1+t} d t-\int_{0}^{1 / 3} \frac{\log ^{2}(t)}{1-t^{2}} d t+\frac{3}{2} \zeta(3) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we evaluated the first integral by expanding $1 /(1+t)$ as a geometric series, integrating term by term and using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{x} t^{n-1} \log ^{2}(t) d t=\frac{x^{n}}{n^{3}}\left(2-2 n \log (x)+n^{2} \log ^{2}(x)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(which is readily verified by differentiating both sides) as well as the final sum in (3.2). Summing (3.6), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\log ^{2}(t)}{1-t^{2}} d t= & \mathrm{Li}_{3}(x)-\operatorname{Li}_{3}(-x)-\log (x)\left(\operatorname{Li}_{2}(x)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-x)\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2}(x) \log \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In light of the relations (2.12) and (2.13), this shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1 / 3} \frac{\log ^{2}(t)}{1-t^{2}} d t=\frac{13}{6} \zeta(3)-\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \log (3)-\frac{1}{6} \log \left(\frac{9}{8}\right) \log ^{2}(3) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By appealing to analytic continuation, we could similarly approach the remaining integral in (3.5). Alternatively, we use the formula [13, (6.28)]

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\log ^{2}(u+1)}{u} d u & =\log t \log ^{2}(t+1)-\frac{2}{3} \log ^{3}(t+1)  \tag{3.9}\\
& -2 \log (t+1) \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t+1}\right)-2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1}{t+1}\right)+2 \zeta(3)
\end{align*}
$$

to evaluate

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log ^{2}(t+2)}{1+t} d t & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{\log ^{2}(t+1)}{t} d t-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\log ^{2}(t+1)}{t} d t \\
& =\frac{7}{8} \zeta(3)-\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \log (3)-\frac{1}{6} \log \left(\frac{9}{8}\right) \log ^{2}(3) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we used (2.10) and (2.11) to reduce the polylogarithms at $1 / 2$. The claimed evaluation, $Z_{3}=\frac{7}{8} \zeta(3)-\frac{13}{6} \zeta(3)+\frac{3}{2} \zeta(3)=\frac{5}{24} \zeta(3)$, now follows from using (3.8) and (3.10) in (3.5).

## 4 An equivalent double sum evaluation

In this section, we relate the triple integral $Z_{3}$ of Theorem 1.1 to the double sum in Theorem 1.3 in the following way.
Lemma 4.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Expanding the integrand of (1.2) as a geometric series, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z}{x(x+y)(x+y+z)} & =\frac{1}{x(x+y)^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z}{1+\frac{z}{x+y}} \\
& =\frac{1}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(-1)^{k} z^{k} d z}{(x+y)^{k+2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k+1)(x+y)^{k+2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We then integrate with respect to $y$ to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z d y}{x(x+y)(x+y+z)} & =\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} d y}{(k+1)(x+y)^{k+2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k+1)^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{x^{k+1}}-\frac{1}{(x+1)^{k+1}}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k+1)^{2} x^{k+2}}-\frac{1}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k+1)^{2}} \frac{1}{(x+1)^{k+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the first term with respect to $x$ results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k+1)^{2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{k+2}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k+1)^{3}}=\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the second term contributes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k+1)^{2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x(x+1)^{k+1}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x(x+1)^{k+1}}=\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from the change of variables $w=1 /(x+1)$ and expanding a geometric series. Combining terms, we arrive at (4.1).

Observe that Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 1.1 immediately imply Theorem 1.3. Let us conclude this section by deriving an alternative integral representation for the double sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{2}} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

of Theorem 1.3.
Theorem 4.2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}=-\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \frac{\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-t)}{t(1-t)} d t=-4 \int_{0}^{\pi / 4} \frac{\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(-\sin ^{2} \varphi\right)}{\sin (2 \varphi)} d \varphi \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is not hard to show (and can even be done automatically, for instance, using creative telescoping) that, for positive integers $k$, the inner sum can be expressed in hypergeometric terms as

$$
\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}}=\log 2-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}}=\frac{1}{k}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cr}
k & k  \tag{4.7}\\
k+1
\end{array} \right\rvert\,-1\right)
$$

The double sum therefore equals

$$
S_{3}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{3}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{rr}
k & k  \tag{4.8}\\
k+1
\end{array} \right\rvert\,-1\right)
$$

The classical integral representation for the hypergeometric function

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{cc}
a & b  \tag{4.9}\\
c
\end{array} \right\rvert\, z\right)=\frac{1}{B(b, c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} x^{b-1}(1-x)^{c-b-1}(1-x z)^{-a} d x
$$

see, for instance, [1, page 65], gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k^{2}}\left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right)^{k}\right] \frac{d x}{x} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series definition (2.1) for the dilogarithm, followed by the change of variables $t=\frac{x}{x+1}$, therefore implies the claimed integral representation.

We note that, alternatively, the series $S_{3}$ can be obtained starting from the second integral in (4.6) by expanding the dilogarithm and using [9, Entry 2.516.2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{\sin ^{2 k-1} \varphi}{\cos \varphi} d \varphi=-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\sin ^{2 j} \varphi}{2 j}-\log (\cos \varphi) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 A companion identity via duality

Recall that Theorem 1.3 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{Li}_{2,1}\left(-1, \frac{1}{2}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{13}{24} \zeta(3) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, we review duality [6, Section 6.1] and apply it to the identity (5.1) to deduce the following companion identity.

Theorem 5.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{k, m, n \geq 1 \\ k \text { odd }}} \frac{1}{3^{n}} \frac{1}{k(k+m)(k+m+n)}=\frac{13}{48} \zeta(3) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the notation of [6] and write

$$
l\binom{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}}{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}}:=\operatorname{Li}_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}}\left(\frac{1}{y_{1}}, \frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}}, \frac{y_{2}}{y_{3}}, \ldots, \frac{y_{k-1}}{y_{k}}\right)
$$

for multiple polylogarithms as well as

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \omega\left(a_{1}\right) \omega\left(a_{2}\right) \cdots \omega\left(a_{n}\right):=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{x_{1}} \cdots \int_{0}^{x_{n-1}} \frac{d x_{n} \cdots d x_{2} d x_{1}}{\left(x_{n}-a_{n}\right) \cdots\left(x_{2}-a_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-a_{1}\right)}
$$

for iterated integrals. These integrals provide a natural way to express multiple polylogarithms. Indeed, we have the weight-dimensional iterated integral representation [6, (4.9)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\binom{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}}{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}}=(-1)^{k} \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \omega(0)^{s_{j}-1} \omega\left(y_{j}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the weight of the multiple polylogarithm is defined to be $s_{1}+s_{2}+\cdots+$ $s_{k}$ (and that this matches the number of integrations in the iterated integral representation (5.3)).

Reversing the order of integration in (5.3) and replacing each integration variable $x$ by $1-x$ results in the dual iterated integral representation (5.4) of the multiple polylogarithm.

Theorem 5.2 ([6, (6.1)]). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\binom{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}}{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}}=(-1)^{s_{1}+s_{2}+\cdots+s_{k}+k} \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \omega\left(1-y_{k-j}\right) \omega(1)^{s_{k-j}-1} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A famous instance of duality is Euler's formula (see, for instance, [7])

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta(2,1)=l\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) & =\int_{0}^{1} \omega(0) \omega(1) \omega(1)  \tag{5.5}\\
& =-\int_{0}^{1} \omega(0) \omega(0) \omega(1)=l\binom{3}{1}=\zeta(3)
\end{align*}
$$

where both (5.3) and (5.4) have been used to relate one polylogarithm to its dual.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Similar to (5.5), for the polylogarithms involved in (5.1), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Li}_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{2},-1\right) & =l\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
2 & -2
\end{array}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \omega(2) \omega(0) \omega(-2) \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1} \omega(3) \omega(1) \omega(-1)=l\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
3 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{Li}_{1,1,1}\left(\frac{1}{3}, 3,-1\right) \\
& =\sum_{n_{1}>n_{2}>n_{3} \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n_{3}}}{3^{n_{1}-n_{2}}} \frac{1}{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) & =l\binom{3}{-2}=-\int_{0}^{1} \omega(0)^{2} \omega(-2) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \omega(3) \omega(1)^{2}=-l\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
3 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=-\operatorname{Li}_{1,1,1}\left(\frac{1}{3}, 3,1\right) \\
& =-\sum_{n_{1}>n_{2}>n_{3} \geq 1} \frac{1}{3^{n_{1}-n_{2}}} \frac{1}{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taken together, we obtain

$$
\sum_{n_{1}>n_{2}>n_{3} \geq 1} \frac{1}{3^{n_{1}-n_{2}}} \frac{1}{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}-\sum_{n_{1}>n_{2}>n_{3} \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n_{3}}}{3^{n_{1}-n_{2}}} \frac{1}{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}=\frac{13}{24} \zeta(3)
$$

or, equivalently, (5.2).

## 6 A related simpler double sum

Ramanujan derived (1.8) by evaluating [2, Entry 9; p. 251] the function

$$
g(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} H_{k} \frac{z^{k+1}}{(k+1)^{2}}, \quad \text { where } \quad H_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j}
$$

in polylogarithmic terms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(1-z)=\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2}(z) \log (1-z)+\mathrm{Li}_{2}(z) \log (z)-\mathrm{Li}_{3}(z)+\zeta(3) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observing that the double sum (1.8) is given by $g(1 / 2)+\operatorname{Li}_{3}(1 / 2)$. We next show that (1.9) can be derived in an analogous manner.
Lemma 6.1. For $|z|<1$, define

$$
h(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} H_{k}^{(2)} \frac{z^{k+1}}{k+1}, \quad \text { where } H_{k}^{(2)}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j^{2}} .
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(1-z)=2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(z)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z) \log (z)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \log (z)-2 \zeta(3) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We note that

$$
h^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} H_{k}^{(2)} z^{k}=\frac{\operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)}{1-z}
$$

Integrating by parts, we find

$$
h(z)=-\log (1-z) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)-\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\log ^{2}(1-t)}{t} d t=-\log (1-z) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)-2 g(z)
$$

so that the claim follows from (6.1) combined with (2.7).
It is now straightforward to deduce (1.9).
Corollary 6.2. We have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}}=\frac{5}{8} \zeta(3)
$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \frac{1}{j 2^{j}}=h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

so that the claimed evaluation follows from (6.2) together with (2.10) and (2.11).

Let us indicate that similarly approaching the double sum $S_{3}$ in Theorem 1.3 is not sufficient to evaluate it. Define, for $|z|<1$,

$$
\tilde{h}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{H}_{k}^{(2)} \frac{z^{k+1}}{k+1}, \quad \text { where } \quad \tilde{H}_{k}^{(2)}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
S_{3}=\tilde{h}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

Observing that

$$
\tilde{h}^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{H}_{k}^{(2)} z^{k}=-\frac{\operatorname{Li}_{2}(-z)}{1-z}
$$

we then find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}(z)=\log (1-z) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-z)+\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\log (1-t) \log (1+t)}{t} d t \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}=\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \frac{\log (1-t) \log (1+t)}{t} d t-\operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\log (2) \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (6.3) can be expressed as a sum of polylogarithms at various arguments, though the result takes a rather more complicated form than (6.2). As a consequence, it still requires a considerable amount of polylogarithmic relations to deduce the simple evaluation $S_{3}=\frac{13}{24} \zeta(3)$ from (6.4).

## 7 Computer algebraic approaches

We indicated in Remark 1.2 that (period) integrals such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=\int_{1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d z d y d x}{x(x+y)(x+y+z)} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be algorithmically evaluated in terms of multiple polylogarithms. These evaluations, however, are not typically in simplified form and establishing the necessary relations between special values of polylogarithms can be (sometimes prohibitively) difficult. For instance, Theorem 1.3 is equivalent to the polylogarithmic relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{Li}_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{2},-1\right)-\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{13}{24} \zeta(3) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $-\operatorname{Li}_{1,2}(x,-1)=H(1,-2 ; x)$ is an instance of the harmonic polylogarithm introduced in [18]. A Mathematica implementation of algorithms for working with and simplifying harmonic polylogarithms is provided by Maitre [14]. For instance, it is possible to algorithmically convert the harmonic polylogarithm $H(1,-2 ; x)$ to trilogarithms $\operatorname{Li}_{3}(x)$ and lower order terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(1,-2 ; x) & =\mathrm{Li}_{3}(-x)-\mathrm{Li}_{3}(1-x)+\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right) \\
& +\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{2 x}{x-1}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{2 x}{1+x}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_{2}(x) \log (x+1) \\
& +\frac{1}{6} \log \left(\frac{1-x}{8}\right) \log ^{2}(1-x)-\frac{1}{2} \log (x) \log ^{2}(1-x) \\
& +\frac{\log ^{2}(2)}{2} \log \left(1-x^{2}\right)-\frac{\log (2)}{2} \log ^{2}(1+x)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \log \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x}\right) \\
& -\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3)-\frac{\log ^{3}(2)}{3}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \log (2)
\end{aligned}
$$

With a bit of human effort, we can then prove (7.2) by employing relations for the tri- and dilogarithm including those mentioned in Section 2.

The question of whether an integral like (7.1) can be automatically evaluated by a general purpose computer algebra system evolves in time. For instance, given (7.1), Maple 18 evaluates two of three integrals and returns a single integral similar to (3.1). On the other hand, Mathematica 9 returns the integral (7.1) unevaluated, while Mathematica 10 produces

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{3}= & \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)-2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)+\frac{19}{8} \zeta(3)+\frac{1}{2} \log (3) \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)-3 \log (3) \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{3} \log ^{3}(3)+\pi i\left[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)-3 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2}(3)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming that this calculation is correct, and observing that $Z_{3}$ is real, we can conclude the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)=6 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)+\log ^{2}(3)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from (2.3) combined with (2.12) (see also [3, (39.4); p. 324]). Using (7.3), the expression for the triple integral reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=\mathrm{Li}_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)-2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)+\frac{19}{8} \zeta(3)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \log (3)+\frac{1}{6} \log ^{3}(3) . \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining polylogarithms can be simplified using (2.13), which results in the desired evaluation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=\frac{5}{24} \zeta(3), \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we established in Theorem 1.1.
It is an interesting phenomenon that symmetrizing a problem can occasionally make it considerably more tractable by computer algebra. An impressive instance is [17], where Paule significantly reduces the order of recurrences for certain $q$-sums by "creative symmetrizing". In the remainder of this section, we indicate that a symmetrizing transformation also makes the integral $Z_{3}$ more palatable for symbolic evaluation. To begin with, the change of variables $x \mapsto 1 / x$ transforms the integral (7.1) to the unit cube:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x d x d y d z}{(1+x y)(1+x(y+z))} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the integrand of (7.6) by $u(x, y, z)$. The symmetrization of the integral is defined in terms of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\operatorname{sym}}(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{3}[u(x, y, z)+u(y, z, x)+u(z, x, y)] \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\mathrm{sym}}(x, y, z) d x d y d z \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form seems to be more favorable to a symbolic calculation. Indeed, Mathematica is able to directly evaluate the symmetrized integral (7.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{3}=\frac{5}{24} \zeta(3)-\frac{\pi i}{6}\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)-6 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)-\log ^{2}(3)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right] . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Identity (7.3), or simply observing that (7.8) is real, then gives the value $Z_{3}=$ $\frac{5}{24} \zeta(3)$ of Theorem 1.1.

## 8 Conclusions

We discussed several approaches to the triple integral (1.2) as well as the equivalent double sum (1.5). However, in each case, we eventually required the introduction of polylogarithms and nontrivial relations between them. It remains an interesting challenge to relate either of (1.2) and (1.5) to $\zeta(3)$ directly.

In another direction, let us note that the unit square integral for $\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)$ in Remark 1.4, a 2-dimensional analog of the integral (1.2), has other simpler higher-dimensional generalizations. Indeed, for any $m \geq 2$, we have the following integrals evaluating in terms of $\zeta(m)$ :

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x_{1} \cdots d x_{m}}{1-x_{1} \cdots x_{m}}=\zeta(m), \quad \int_{0}^{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x_{1} \cdots d x_{m}}{1+x_{1} \cdots x_{m}}=\left(1-2^{1-m}\right) \zeta(m)
$$

In particular,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x d y d z}{1-x y z}=\zeta(3), \quad \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x d y d z}{1+x y z}=\frac{3}{4} \zeta(3)
$$

Other interesting triple integrals involving $\zeta(3)$ have been considered in the literature. For instance, in his proof, inspired by Apéry, of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$, Beukers [4] considers the integrals

$$
J_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{n}(1-x)^{n} y^{n}(1-y)^{n} z^{n}(1-z)^{n}}{(1-(1-x y) z)^{n+1}} d x d y d z
$$

and shows that

$$
J_{n}=A(n) \zeta(3)+B(n),
$$

where

$$
A(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k}^{2}
$$

are the Apéry numbers and $B(n)$ are certain rational numbers (satisfying the same three-term recurrence as the Apéry numbers). For instance,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1-(1-x y) z} d x d y d z=\zeta(3) \\
& J_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x(1-x) y(1-y) z(1-z)}{(1-(1-x y) z)^{2}} d x d y d z=5 \zeta(3)-6
\end{aligned}
$$

Beukers [4] shows that $d_{n}^{3} J_{n}=a_{n} \zeta(3)+b_{n}$, with $d_{n}=\operatorname{lcm}(1,2, \ldots, n)$, are integer linear combinations of $\zeta(3)$ and 1 (that is, $a_{n}, b_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). He then deduces the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$ from the bounds $0<\left|a_{n} \zeta(3)+b_{n}\right|<(4 / 5)^{n}$, which hold for large enough $n$.

Recently, Brown [8] introduced cellular integrals, generalizing Beukers' integrals $J_{n}$, and showed that these cellular integrals are special linear forms in multiple zeta values, which reproduce (and vastly generalize) many of the known constructions related to irrationality questions for zeta values. We close by wondering whether the triple integral (1.2) can be similarly embedded in an infinite family of linear forms in $\zeta(3)$ and 1.
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